LEGISLATIVE ORDER OF THE TOHONO O'ODHAM LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL (Adopting Rules of Procedure and Setting April 14, 2005 Hearing on Appeal from Proposed Adjustment to Sif Oidak District's Schedule of Representative)

LEGISLATIVE ORDER NO. <u>05-163</u>

1	WHEREAS,	the Tohono O'odham Legislative Council is vested with jurisdiction to hear appeals
2		from a district's adjustment of its schedule of representatives pursuant to Article VI,
3		Section 3(B) of Ordinance No. 03-86, the Uniform Election Ordinance ("Election
4		Ordinance"); and
5	WHEREAS,	the Council has received Chuichu community representatives' appeal regarding the
6		proposed adjustment of the Sif Oidak District's schedule of representatives and the
7		Election Board's denial of the adjustment; and
8	WHEREAS,	it is necessary to adopt procedures governing such appeals in order to provide a fair
9		and timely hearing in accordance with due process of law; and
10	WHEREAS,	the Rules Committee has developed the below "Rules of Procedure for Appeal from
11		Proposed District Schedule of Representatives Adjustment" and recommends its
12		adoption.
13	NOW, THE	REFORE, BE IT ORDERED THAT (1) a hearing on the appeal from the
14		proposed adjustment to the Sif Oidak District's schedule of representatives ("Sif
15		Oidak District Schedule Appeal") shall be held on April 14, 2005 at 1:00 p.m. in the
16		Legislative Council chambers, provided that all Legislative Council representatives
17		shall be present for orientation on the hearing rules at 9:00 a.m. on that date, and (2)
18		the below Rules of Procedure for Appeal from Proposed District Schedule of
19		Representatives Adjustment are hereby adopted and shall govern the Sif Oidak
20		District Schedule Appeal:
21		
22		
23		
24	<i>.</i>	
25		
26		
27	.	

LEGISLATIVE ORDER NO. 05-163

(Adopting Rules of Procedure and Setting April 14, 2005 Hearing on Appeal from Proposed Adjustment to Sif Oidak District's Schedule of Representative)

Page 2 of 9

RULES OF PROCEDURE FOR APPEAL FROM PROPOSED DISTRICT SCHEDULE OF REPRESENTATIVE ADJUSTMENT

- 1. <u>Filing Appeal</u>. The village, community, or member appealing a district's adjustment of its schedule of representatives pursuant to Article VI, Section 3(B) of Ordinance No. 03-86, the Uniform Election Ordinance ("Election Ordinance"), shall file twenty-six (26) copies of a brief written statement describing the grounds for the appeal and any supporting exhibits (collectively, "Appeal") with the Tohono O'odham Legislative Council Secretary during normal business hours.
- 2. <u>Hearing Schedule</u>. The Tohono O'odham Legislative Council shall set a hearing to be held within thirty (30) days after receiving such an Appeal. Copies of the Appeal shall be distributed to members of the Tohono O'odham Legislative Council before a vote is taken on the legislative order setting the appeal hearing.
- 3. Notice. After the appeal hearing is set, the village, community, or member(s) filing the appeal ("Appellant"), the Chairperson of the District whose adjustment is being challenged shall, not less than seven (7) calendar days before the hearing, be served personally or by registered mail, return receipt requested, with a copy of the legislative order setting the hearing, a copy of these Rules, and a hearing notice identifying the time, date and place of the hearing. The Election Board Chairperson shall likewise be served with the order, Rules, and hearing notice not less than five (5) calendar days before the hearing. The District Chairperson and Election Board Chairperson shall also be served with a copy of the Appeal with the hearing notice.
- 4. Response. The District and Election Board may each file twenty-six (26) copies of a written response and any supporting exhibits (collectively, "Responses") with the Legislative Council Secretary during normal working hours within five (5) working days after being served with the notice of hearing. Copies of the Responses shall be distributed to members of the Tohono O'odham Legislative Council.

Open Session. Council members, personnel, the Appellant, District representatives,

witnesses, and legal counsel shall be permitted in the Council chambers during the

hearing. Subject to seating capacity limitations of the Council chambers, members

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

11.

Appellant does appear, the Appeal statement shall be read or, if the statement is

lengthy, may be summarized. The Presiding Officer shall call the District

Chairperson and Election Board Chairperson or their designees or representatives

24

25

26

LEGISLATIVE ORDER NO. <u>05-163</u>

(Adopting Rules of Procedure and Setting April 14, 2005 Hearing on Appeal from Proposed Adjustment to Sif Oidak District's Schedule of Representative) Page 5 of 9

to appear and respond by indicating whether the District or the Election Board contests the Appeal. The Clerk shall record any responses to the Appeal by or on behalf of the District and Election Board. If neither the District nor the Election Board contests the Appeal, judgement shall be entered for the Appellant and the District's proposed adjustment to its schedule of representatives shall be declared valid. If the District Chairperson or the Election Board Chairperson appears and contests the Appeal or any part of the Appeal, the Council shall immediately hear the Appeal. If the District Chairperson or Election Board Chairperson does not appear, either personally or by counsel, the failure to appear shall be recorded and the hearing shall be conducted as though the Appeal is contested.

- 17. <u>Instructions</u>. At any time, the Presiding Officer may request instructions from theCouncil on procedural matters.
- 18. <u>Maintaining Order</u>. The Presiding Officer shall have the power to preserve order and to make all lawful orders that may be necessary for that purpose. Persons attending the proceedings will, at the direction of the Presiding Officer, be ejected from the Council chambers by the Marshall if they are under the influence of drugs or alcohol, or in any way interrupt, disrupt or interfere with the proceedings.
- 19. Relevance of Documentary Evidence. Any motion to challenge the relevance of any documentary evidence submitted and disclosed to the opposing party in advance of the hearing may be made in writing or made orally prior to opening statements. Any arguments related to such motions shall not exceed a total of fifteen minutes, unless extended by a majority vote. The Presiding Officer shall rule upon any objections to the relevance of documentary evidence or may instruct the Council to decide by roll call vote whether the evidence is relevant and shall be heard or is irrelevant and not be heard.

(Adopting Rules of Procedure and Setting April 14, 2005 Hearing on Appeal from Proposed Adjustment to Sif Oidak District's Schedule of Representative)
Page 6 of 9

20. <u>Burden of Proof.</u> The Appellant shall have the burden of proving by the greater weight of evidence that the District's proposed adjustment of its schedule of representatives was valid.

21. Procedure.

- (a) Examination of Witnesses. Only one individual representing each party may examine any given witness. No member of the Legislative Council may be called as a witness. The Presiding Officer shall permit redirect examination and may permit re-cross examination.
- (b) <u>Documentary Evidence</u>. After preliminary motions, including any motions to dismiss, are heard and decided, documentary evidence to which there is no objection, or which has been found to be relevant, may be produced at the hearing and considered by the Legislative Council in reaching its verdict.
- (c) Opening Statement. The Appellant, District and Election Board may each make an opening statement not exceeding thirty minutes.
- (d) Oaths. Before any witness gives testimony, the witness shall subscribe to the following oath:

Do you solemnly swear or affirm that the testimony that you are about to give in this hearing will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

Upon request of a witness, the Presiding Officer may substitute an alternate form of oath or affirmation as may be required to preserve the freedom of worship.

(e) <u>Witnesses Called in Support of Appeal</u>. Following opening statements, the Appellant may testify, call and question witnesses, and introduce documents or other evidence provided that twenty-six (26) copies of such documents or evidence have been submitted to the Legislative Secretary as required pursuant to Section 11 of these Rules. At the conclusion of any witness's testimony, first the District, and then the Election Board, may cross-examine each witness called.

(Adopting Rules of Procedure and Setting April 14, 2005 Hearing on Appeal from Proposed Adjustment to Sif Oidak District's Schedule of Representative)
Page 7 of 9

After cross-examination, members of the Legislative Council may question the witness for a reasonable period of time to be determined by the Presiding Officer. Re-direct and re-cross-examination may be limited by the Presiding Officer.

- (f) <u>District's Witnesses</u>. After all Appellant's witnesses have been heard, the District may call and question witnesses, and introduce documents or other evidence provided that twenty-six (26) copies of such documents or evidence have been submitted to the Legislative Secretary as required pursuant to Section 11 of these Rules. At the conclusion of any witness's testimony, first the Appellant and then the Election Board may cross-examine the witness. After cross-examination, members of the Legislative Council may question the witness for a reasonable period of time to be determined by the Presiding Officer. Re-direct and Re-cross-examination may be limited by the Presiding Officer.
- Election Board's Witnesses. After all the District's witnesses have been heard, the Election Board may testify, call and question witnesses, and introduce documents or other evidence provided that twenty-six (26) copies of such documents or evidence have been submitted to the Legislative Secretary as required pursuant to Section 11 of these Rules. At the conclusion of any witness's testimony, first the Appellant and then the Election Board may cross-examine each witness called. After cross-examination, members of the Legislative Council may question the witness for a reasonable period of time to be determined by the Presiding Officer. Re-direct and re-cross-examination may be limited by the Presiding Officer.
- (h) Additional Witnesses and Evidence. The Council may, at the Presiding Officer's direction or by majority vote, call other witnesses having relevant information pertaining to the adjustment. Members of the Legislative Council may question the witness for a reasonable period of time to be determined by the Presiding

(Adopting Rules of Procedure and Setting April 14, 2005 Hearing on Appeal from Proposed Adjustment to Sif Oidak District's Schedule of Representative)
Page 8 of 9

Officer. At the conclusion of the witness's testimony, the Appellant may crossexamine the witness, followed by the District, and then the Election Board.

- 22. Closing Arguments. After all witnesses have been heard, the Appellant shall have the opportunity to make a closing argument in support of the proposed adjustment to the District's schedule of representatives. The District shall then have the opportunity to make a closing argument, followed by the Election Board's closing argument. Each closing arguments shall be limited to thirty (30) minutes. The time allotted for closing argument may be extended by a majority vote.
- 23. Verdict and Judgment.
 - (a) <u>Voting</u>. After closing arguments, a single vote shall be taken and all members of the Council present at the hearing shall be required to vote on the question whether to grant or deny the Appeal.
 - (b) Verdict. A majority vote to grant the appeal means the Council has found that the Election Board's denial of the District's proposed adjustment to its schedule of representatives was invalid under the laws of the Nation. If the appeal is granted, the District's official schedule of representative shall be amended prior to the next general election to include the adjustment that was authorized by the District and that is the subject of the appeal. A majority vote to deny the appeal means the Council has determined that the proposed adjustment was invalid or there was insufficient evidence to grant the appeal. If the appeal is denied, the adjustment that was previously certified to the Election Board prior to the events giving rise to the appeal shall remain in effect.
 - (c) Order. A legislative order reflecting the Legislative Council's verdict shall be executed and copies provided by the Secretary of the Council to the parties and Chairperson of the Election Board within five (5) business days following the date of the decision.

	LEGISLATIVE ORDER NO. <u>05-163</u> (Adopting Rules of Procedure and Setting April 14, 2005 Hearing on Appeal from Proposed Adjustment to Sif Oidak District's Schedule of Representative) Page 9 of 9
1	24. Pursuant to the Election Ordinance, Article VI, Section 3(B), the decision of the
2	Legislative Council shall be final.
3	The foregoing Order was passed by the Tohono O'odham Legislative Council on the <u>05TH.</u> Day of
4	APRIL, 2005 at a meeting at which a quorum was present with a vote of 2,629.7 FOR; -0- AGAINST;
5	-0-NOT VOTING; and [04] ABSENT, pursuant to the powers vested in the Council by Section 1 (a) of
6 7	Article VI of the Constitution of the Tohono O'Odham Nation, adopted by the Tohono O'Odham Nation on January 18, 1986; and approved by the Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary - Indian Affairs
8	(Operations) on March 6, 1986, pursuant to Section 16 of the Act of June 18, 1934 (48 Stat. 984).
9	(• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
10	TOHONO O'ODHAM KEGISLATIVE COUNCIL
11	
12	
13	
14	Isidro Lopez, Legislative Chairman
15	
16	
17	ATTEST:
18	
19	Change of I.
20	Jum Joffe
21	Lucille Lopez, Acting Legislative Secretary
22	·
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29 30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
	II

ACTION: ADOPTING RULES OF PROCEDURES AND SETTING APRIL 14, 2005 HEARING ON APPEAL FROM PROPOSED ADJUSTMENT TO SIF OIDAK DISTRICT'S SCHEDULE OF REPRESENTATIVE

of R

MOVED: COUNCILWOMAN EVELYN JUAN

SECOND: COUNCILWOMAN FRANCES CONDE

DATE: APRIL 05, 2005

DISTRICT	LEGISLATIVE REPRESENTATIVES	# OF VOTES	FOR	AGAINST	NOT VOTING	ABSENT
SIF OIDAK 192.6	1. ISIDRO LOPEZ (Nicholas Jose) 2. DARLENE ANDREW	96.30	X			
	(Rita Martinez)	96.30	X			
SELLS 465.6	1. DENNIS E. JOSE	232.80	X			
403.0	2. EVELYN JUAN	232.80	х			
SCHUK TOAK	1. FRANCES B. CONDE	88.05	х			
176.1	2. PHYLLIS JUAN	88.05	X			
SAN XAVIER	1. FELICIA NUÑEZ	107.70	x			
215.4	(Olivia Liston-Villegas) 2. DENNIS RAMON (Eleanor Hunter)	107.70	Х			Х
SAN LUCY	1. JOHN W. LAWSON, SR.	83.15	x			X
166.3	2. GLORIA RAMIREZ	83.15	X			
PISINEMO	1. BARBARA SALVICIO	87.70	X			Х
175.4	2. PATRICIA CRUZ	87.70	X			
HICKIWAN	1. DELMA GARCIA	85.15	X			х
170.3	(Mary E. Sam) 2. SANDRA ORTEGA ()	85.15	X			
GU VO	1. RAYMOND VICTOR	95.70	X			
191.4	2. MICHAEL FLORES (Willard Manuel)	95.70	X			
GU ACHI	1. TIMOTHY L. JOAQUIN (Jonas Robles)	126.80	X		-	
253.6	(Jonas Robles) 2. JEROME JOAQUIN (Roberta Picard)	126.80	X			
CHUKUT KUK	1. KENNETH WILLIAMS (Absent)	129.45	X			
258.9	(Juanita Homer) (Present) 2. VERLON M. JOSE (David Garcia)	129.45	X			
BABOQUIVARI	FRANCES MIGUEL (Legilda Negris Valentysels)	182.05	X			
364.1	(Lucilda Norris-Valenzuela) 2. FRANCES G. ANTONE ()	182.05	X			
	TOTAL	2,629.7	2,629.7	-0-	-0-	[04]