RESOLUTION OF THE TOHONO O'ODHAM LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

(Opposing Discriminatory Treatment of Tribal Governments Under Proposed Rule Defining "Essential Governmental Function" for Purposes of Internal Revenue Code Section 7871)

RESOLUTION NO. 06-725

1	WHEREAS,	the Tohono O'odham Legislative Council is vested with the power to consult with the
2		United States Congress and "federal agencies regarding federal activities that affect
3		the Tohono O'odham Nation" (Constitution of the Tohono O'odham Nation, Article
4		VI, Section 1(j)); and
5	WHEREAS,	sovereign Indian tribes share a unique trust relationship with the United States of
6		America, which is embodied in the Constitution of the United States, numerous
7		court opinions, statutes, executive orders, and federal agency policies; and
8	WHEREAS,	by its passage and implementation of the Indian Self-Determination and Education
9		Assistance Act (25 U.S.C. § 450 et seq.) and similar legislation, the United States of
10		America has recognized the need to work with Indian tribes on a government-to-
11		government basis and to support Indian tribal self-determination and self-
12		governance; and
13	WHEREAS,	economic development is an essential governmental function that is performed by
14		tribal, state, and local governments as a means of improving the health, education,
15		and welfare of individuals and families within their jurisdictions; and
16	WHEREAS,	unlike state and local governments, tribal governments commonly lack the ability
17		to impose property taxes on lands within their jurisdiction or otherwise generate
18		sufficient revenues to fund basic infrastructure and promote economic
19		development; and
20	WHEREAS,	the current structure of federal tax laws contributes to the disproportionate
21		unemployment and poverty on Indian reservations by systematically
22		discriminating against Indian tribal governments; and
23	WHEREAS,	Section 7871 of the Internal Revenue Code, "Indian tribal governments treated as
24		States for certain purposes," recognizes tribes' ability to issue tax-exempt bonds
25		but imposes discriminatory restrictions on such obligations that state and local
26		governments do not face; and
27	WHEREAS,	tribal bonds may, for example, only be issued for "essential governmental
28		functions," a restriction that does not apply to state and municipal bonds; and
	II	

	(Opposing Di	N NO. <u>06-725</u> scriminatory Treatment of Tribal Governments Under Proposed Rule Defining "Essential al Function" for Purposes of Internal Revenue Code Section 7871)
1	WHEREAS,	in addition, the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") (1) has interpreted Section 7871 to
2		prevent tribes from issuing bonds for the same activities that are customarily
3		funded with state and municipal bonds and (2) has audited tribal tax-exempt bonds
4		at a rate 30 times greater than the rate at which municipal tax-exempt bonds are
5		audited; and
6	WHEREAS,	on August 9, 2006, the IRS issued a notice of proposed rulemaking ("Proposed Rule")
7		notifying the public of its intent to define an "essential governmental function"
8		under Section 7871 in a manner that would further hamper tribal tax-exempt bond
9		issuances (Fed. Reg. 45474 (Aug. 9, 2006)); and
10	WHEREAS,	although the IRS has formally recognized its government-to-government
11		relationship with Indian tribes and the resulting need to consult with tribes on
12		matters affecting them, the IRS did not consult with tribes before publishing the
13		restrictive criteria appearing in its notice (Internal Revenue Manual, 4.86.1.1,
14		Indian Tribal Governments); and
15	WHEREAS,	the National Congress of American Indians, by Resolution # SAC-06-014, has called
16		upon the IRS "to refrain from publishing guidance on critical tribal issues,
17		including but not limited to guidance on tribal corporation tax issues or a rule
18		defining 'essential government functions' under Internal Revenue Code Section
19		7871, without first having received tribal government input through government-
20		to-government consultation"; and
21	WHEREAS,	the IRS notice indicates that the Proposed Rule would prevent tribes from issuing
22		tax-exempt bonds unless "numerous" state and local governments have been
23		conducting the activity and finance it with tax-exempt governmental bonds "for
24		many years"; and
25	WHEREAS,	the Proposed Rule would also prohibit tribally issued tax-exempt bonds for a
26	3	"commercial or industrial facility," despite the fact that state and local
27		governments routinely issue tax-exempt bonds for economic development to fund
28		golf courses, convention centers, hotels, and other activities; and
29	WHEREAS,	the issuance of state or tribal tax-exempt bonds for these purposes is an essential
30		governmental function as the funded projects are designed to improve local

	(Opposing Dis	N NO. <u>06-725</u> scriminatory Treatment of Tribal Governments Under Proposed Rule Defining "Essential Il Function" for Purposes of Internal Revenue Code Section 7871)
1		economic conditions, provide revenue to fund government operations, create jobs,
2		and provide recreational opportunities for residents and nonresidents alike; and
3	WHEREAS,	Senator Gordon Smith and Senator Max Baucus have sponsored S. 3567, the "Tribal
4		Government Tax-Exempt Bond Parity Act of 2006" to amend Section 7871 in a
5		manner that would remove the discriminatory restrictions on tribally issued tax-
6		exempt bonds; and
7	WHEREAS,	because the Proposed Rule would conflict with the provisions of S. 3567, the IRS
8	,	should defer to Congress and refrain from promulgating the rule described in its
9		August 9, 2006 notice; and
10	WHEREAS,	if the IRS does promulgate a rule defining an essential governmental function, such
11	,	a definition should include all activities that are also funded by the tax-exempt
12		state or local governmental bonds, whether the activity is arguably "commercial"
13		or not; and
14	WHEREAS,	it is in the Nation's best interest to comment on and oppose additional,
15	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	discriminatory rules being proposed by the IRS.
16	NOW THERE	FORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Tohono O'odham Legislative Council hereby adopts
17	NOW, THERE	the attached "Comments of the Tohono O'odham Nation Opposing Discriminatory
		Restrictions on Tribal Tax-Exempt Bond Issuances" as the Nation's official position
18		-
19		on the IRS Proposed Rule and (2) authorizes and directs the Nation's Chairwoman
20		to submit the Nation's Comments to the IRS.
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	of <u>OCTOBER</u> AGAINST; <u>-0-</u> Section 1(j) o O'Odham Na	g Resolution was passed by the Tohono O'odham Legislative Council on the 20 TH . Day <u>2006</u> at a meeting at which a quorum was present with a vote of 2,440.80 FOR; <u>-0-NOT VOTING</u> ; and [04] ABSENT, pursuant to the powers vested in the Council by fArticle VI of the Constitution of the Tohono O'Odham Nation, adopted by the Tohono tion on January 18, 1986; and approved by the Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary - (Operations) on March 6, 1986, pursuant to Section 16 of the Act of June 18, 1934 (48)
29		TOHONO O'ODHAM LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL
30		A
31 32		Confli M & in
33		Verlop M. Jose, Legislative Chairman
34		25 day of October, 2006
35 36		25 day of 0.76 0.006
37		

		atment of Tribal Governments Under Proposed Rule Defining "Essential
	Page 4 of 4	urposes of Internal Revenue Code Section 7871)
1	ATTEST:	
3	Uh. J.	
4	Lucille Lopez, Acting Legislat	
5 6		·
7 8	20 day of Ochshu	, 2006.
9	Said Resolution was submi	itted for approval to the office of the Chairwoman of the Tohono
10		5 day of 0 cto Der, 2006 at 911 o'clock, A.M.
11	II .	Section 5 of Article VII of the Constitution and will become effective
12		her failure to either approve or disapprove it within 48 hours of
13	submittal.	
14		TOHONO O'ODHAM LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL
15 16		
17		Sel h & for
18		Verlon M. Jose, Legislative Chairman
19		
20		
21		125 , Codalier and
22 23	APPROVED	on the $\sqrt{}$ day of $\sqrt{}$, 2006
24	[] DISAPPROVED	on the <u>25</u> day of <u>October</u> , 2006 at <u>10:53</u> o'clock, <u>a.</u> M.
25		
26		Muunu kuuluunle
27 28		VIVIAN JUAN-SAUNDERS, CHAIRWOMAN
29		TOHONO O'ODHAM NATION
30		
31		
32		
33		ecretary on the <u>55</u> day of
34 35		
36	Vateler . 2006.	at 1235 o'clock. D.M.
37	Vatelet , 2006, i	
38	100000	1 a
39	Burkeynan	feli:
40	Lucille Lopez, Acting Legislat	ive Secretary
41 42		
42		
44		

ACTION: OPPOSING DISCRIMINATORY TREATMENT OF TRIBAL GOVERNMENTS UNDER PROPOSED RULE

DEFINING "ESSENTIAL GOVERNMENTAL FUNCTION" FOR PURPOSES OF INTERNAL REVENUE CODE

SECTION 7871

COUNCILWOMAN MARLENE SARAFICIO MOVED:

SECOND: COUNCILMAN GERALD FAYUANT

DATE: **OCTOBER 20, 2006**

DISTRICT	LEGISLATIVE REPRESENTATIVES	# OF VOTES	FOR	AGAINST	NOT VOTING	ABSENT
BABOQUIVARI	1. FRANCES MIGUEL (Vernon J. Smith)	155.40	x			
310.8	FRANCES G. ANTONE (Lucilda J. Valenzuela (Norris))	155.40	х			X
CHUKUT KUK	1. ETHEL GARCIA	129.35	x			
258.7	2. VERLON M. JOSE (David Garcia)	129.35	x			X
GU ACHI	1. TIMOTHY L. JOAQUIN (Jonas Robles)	110.80	x			
221.6	(Jonas Robles) 2. CYNTHIA E. MANUEL (Louis L. Johnson)	110.80	X			
GU VO	1. RAYMOND VICTOR	96.55	X			
193.1	2. MICHAEL FLORES (Absent) (Grace Manuel) (Present)	96.55	X			
HICKIWAN	1. DELMA GARCIA	83.70	x			
167.4	(Mary E. Sam) 2. SANDRA ORTEGA ()	83.70	X			x
PISINEMO	1. BARBARA SALVICIO	85.90	x			
171.8	2. GERALD FAYUANT	85.90	x			
SAN LUCY	1. JOHN W. LAWSON, SR.	84.50	x			Х
169.0	2. GLORIA RAMIREZ	84.50	x			
SAN XAVIER	1. FELICIA NUÑEZ	96.90	x			
193.8	2. OLIVIA VILLEGAS-LISTON (Eileen A. Estrada-Lopez)	96.90	x			
SCHUK TOAK	1. FRANCES B. CONDE	73.45	x			
146.9	2. PHYLLIS JUAN	73.45	x			
SELLS	1. MARLENE SARAFICIO-JUAN	210.50	x			
421.0	2. EVELYN B. JUAN MANUEL (Kimberly Listo)	210.50	x			
SIF OIDAK	1. WAVALENE SAUNDERS	93.35	x			
186.7	(Isidro Lopez) 2. DARLENE ANDREW (Rita Martinez)	93.35	X			
	TOTAL	2,440.80	2,440.80	-0-	-0-	[04]