## RESOLUTION OF THE TOHONO O'ODHAM LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL (Supporting the Proposed Pima County Wireless Integrated Network ("PCWIN") Tumamoc Hill Multi-Tenant Consolidation Plan)

RESOLUTION NO. 10-271

| 1  | WHEREAS, | the Tohono O'odham Legislative Council is vested with the authority to "preserve and  |
|----|----------|---|
| 2  |          | cultivate native arts, crafts and traditions" and to "consult, negotiate and conclude   |
| 3  |          | agreements  and  contracts  on  behalf of the  Tohono  O'odham  Nation  with  Federal,  State  and  contracts  on  behalf  of the  Tohono  O'odham  Nation  with  Federal,  State  other  Contracts  on  |
| 4  |          | and local governments" (Constitution, Article VI, Section l(c)(8) and (f)); and   |
| 5  | WHEREAS, | the  Tohono O'odham and our ancestors have in habited Tumamoc Hill area since time and constant area and constant area.   |
| 6  |          | immemorial; and   |
| 7  | WHEREAS, | our ancestors, the Archaic and Hohokam, made extensive use of the Tumamoc Hill  |
| 8  |          | area for living and farming which today is estimated to contain thousands of cultural   |
| 9  |          | featuressuchashouses, trails, petroglyphs, fields, rock piles, contour terraces, check piles, rock piles, contour terraces, check piles, rock pil |
| 10 |          | dams, field houses, bordered gardens, burials and artifact concentrations; and  |
| 11 | WHEREAS, | Tumamoc Hill which means "horned toad mountain" in O'odham, is a place of   |
| 12 |          | spiritual, cultural, and ance stral significance and represents a significant traditional   |
| 13 |          | cultural place; and   |
| 14 | WHEREAS, | the Tohono O'odham Nation enacted the Archaeological Resources Protection   |
| 15 |          | Ordinance (Ordinance No. 06-84) for "the protection and preservation of   |
| 16 |          | archaeological  resources  historically  associated  with  traditional  or  sacred  values  and  resources  historically  associated  with  traditional  or  sacred  values  and  resources  historically  associated  with  traditional  or  sacred  values  and  resources  historically  associated  with  traditional  or  sacred  values  and  resources  historically  associated  with  traditional  or  sacred  values  and  resources  historically  associated  with  traditional  or  sacred  values  and  resources  historically  associated  with  traditional  or  sacred  values  and  resources  historically  as  sacred  values  and  resources  historically  as  sacred  values  and  resources  and  resourc  |
| 17 |          | beliefs important to the O'odham, and of the physical site, location or context in which  |
| 18 |          | archaeological resources are found"; and  |
| 19 | WHEREAS, | the  Tohono O'odham Nation recognizes the importance and need to protect, preserve  |
| 20 |          | and manage cultural and natural resources on the Nation's lands and on traditional-   |
| 21 |          | use lands located in Pima County; and   |
| 22 | WHEREAS, | the Pima County Information Technology Department, the Pima County Sheriff's  |
| 23 |          | Department, and the Tohono O'odham Police Department have joined with other   |
| 24 |          | public safety entities to develop a regional public safety radio system known as the  |
| 25 |          | ${\bf Pima\ County\ Wireless\ Integrated\ Network\ ("PCWIN")\ in\ order\ to\ improve\ public\ safety}$  |
| 26 |          | services; and   |
| 27 | WHEREAS, | in 2007 the Legislative Council approved a memorandum of understanding between  |
| 28 |          | the Nation and the Pima County Sheriff's Department to implement the PCWIN  |
| 29 |          | (Resolution No. 07-683); and  |
| 30 |          |   |
| 31 |          |   |

|                | RESOLUTION NO. <u>10-271</u><br>(Supporting the Proposed Pima County Wireless Integrated Network ("PCWIN") Tumamoc Hill Multi-<br>Tenant Consolidation Plan)<br>Page 2 of 4 |
|----------------|---|
| 1              | WHEREAS, in order to implement the PCWIN, Pima County plans to construct one new  |
| 2              | communications tower on Tumamoc Hill, remove two older towers and two buildings,  |
| 3              | and consolidate infrastructure in the existing KUAT building; and   |
| 4              | WHEREAS, the plan for Tumamoc Hill is known as the PCWIN Tumamoc Hill Multi-Tenant  |
| 5              | Consolidation Plan and is shown and generally described on the "PCWIN Tumamoc   |
| 6              | Hill Consolidation Plan" aerial map dated July 6, 2010 ("PCWIN Tumamoc Hill   |
| 7              | Consolidation Plan Map"), which is incorporated by this reference; and  |
| 8              | WHEREAS, the University of Arizona's Management Plan for Tumamoc Hill stresses that any   |
| 9              | activities there must avoid impacting on cultural sites and Pima County has made  |
| 10             | efforts to avoid impacting cultural sites when implementing the PCWIN Tumamoc Hill  |
| 11             | Multi-Tenant Consolidation Plan; and  |
| 12             | WHEREAS, the Tohono O'odham Tribal Historic Preservation Office has reviewed and  |
| 13             | recommends that the Nation support the PCWIN Tumamoc Hill Multi-Tenant  |
| 14             | Consolidation Plan; and   |
| 15             | WHEREAS, the Legislative Cultural Preservation and Domestic Affairs Committees have reviewed  |
| 16             | the PCWIN Tumamoc Hill Consolidation Plan Map.  |
| 17             | NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Tohono O'odham Legislative Council supports the   |
| 18             | PCWIN Tumamoc Hill Multi-Tenant Consolidation Plan as shown and generally   |
| 19             | described on the PCWIN Tumamoc Hill Consolidation Plan Map provided that the Plan   |
| 20             | will have no adverse effect on Tumamoc Hill cultural sites.   |
| 21             | BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Tohono O'odham Legislative Council that this resolution does  |
| 22             | not relieve any federal agency of its duty to consult as required by Section 106 of the   |
| 23             | National Historic Preservation Act (16 U.S.C. 470 et seq.).   |
| 24             | BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Tohono O'odham Legislative Council that if the Nation's Tribal  |
| 25             | Historic Preservation Office determines that the PCWIN Tumamoc Hill Multi-Tenant  |
| 26             | Consolidation Plan will have no adverse effect to historic properties on Tumamoc Hill,  |
| 27             | the Tribal Historic Preservation Office is authorized to issue a certification to that  |
| 28             | effect.   |
| 29             | BE IT FINALLY RESOLVED that the Tribal Historic Preservation Office will report the results of the  |
| 30             | completed PCWIN Tumamoc Hill Multi-Tenant Consolidation Plan project to the Office  |
| 31             | of the Chairman and the Legislative Cultural Preservation Committee.  |
| 32<br>33<br>34 |   |

|  | RESOLUTION NO. <u>10-271</u> (Supporting the Proposed Pima County Wireless Integrated Network ("PCWIN") Tumamoc Hill Multi-<br>Tenant Consolidation Plan) Page 3 of 4   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1<br>2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8   | The foregoing Resolution was passed by the Tohono O'odham Legislative Council on the 15 <sup>TH</sup> Day of JULY, 2010 at a meeting at which a quorum was present with a vote of 2,595.50 FOR; -0- AGAINST; -03- NOT VOTING; and [02] ABSENT, pursuant to the powers vested in the Council by Article VI, Section 1 (c)(8) and (f) of the Constitution of the Tohono O'odham Nation, adopted by the Tohono O'odham Nation on January 18, 1986; and approved by the Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary - Indian Affairs (Operations) on March 6, 1986, pursuant to Section 16 of the Act of June 18, 1934 (48 Stat. 984).  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9  | TOHONO O'ODHAM LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11   | 1/1/2/1/  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12   | Jewin Jup   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13<br>14   | Verlon M. Jose, Legislative Chairman  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14<br>15   | $\frac{30}{4}$ day of $\frac{34}{4}$ , 2010   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17   | APTEST:   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19   | Minuelle  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20   | nne Wilson, Legislative Secretary  Aday of, 2010.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21   | $1 \sim 2$  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22   | $\frac{-4}{2}$ day of $\frac{4}{2}$ , 2010.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23<br>24   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 <del>4</del><br>25   | Nation on the $\frac{1}{2}$ day of $\frac{1}{2}$ day $\frac{1}{2}$ day of $\frac{1}{2}$ d |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26   | pursuant to the provisions of Section 5 of Article VII of the Constitution and will become effective  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | upon his approval or upon his failure to either approve or disapprove it within 48 hours of   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27<br>28   | upon his approval or upon his failure to either approve or disapprove it within 48 hours of submittal.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27<br>28<br>29   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27<br>28<br>29<br>30   | submittal.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27<br>28<br>29<br>30<br>31   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27<br>28<br>29<br>30<br>31<br>32   | submittal.  TOHONO O'ODHAM LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27<br>28<br>29<br>30<br>31<br>32<br>33   | TOHONO O'ODHAM LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27<br>28<br>29<br>30<br>31<br>32<br>33<br>34   | TOHONO O'ODHAM LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27<br>28<br>29<br>30<br>31<br>32<br>33<br>34<br>35<br>36   | submittal.  TOHONO O'ODHAM LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27<br>28<br>29<br>30<br>31<br>32<br>33<br>34<br>35<br>36<br>37   | TOHONO O'ODHAM LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27<br>28<br>29<br>30<br>31<br>32<br>33<br>34<br>35<br>36<br>37<br>38   | TOHONO O'ODHAM LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL  Verlon M. Jose, Logislative Chairman  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27<br>28<br>29<br>30<br>31<br>32<br>33<br>34<br>35<br>36<br>37<br>38<br>39   | TOHONO O'ODHAM LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL  Verlon M. Jose, Logislative Chairman  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27<br>28<br>29<br>30<br>31<br>32<br>33<br>34<br>35<br>36<br>37<br>38<br>39<br>40   | TOHONO O'ODHAM LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL  Verlon M. Jose, Logislative Chairman  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27<br>28<br>29<br>30<br>31<br>32<br>33<br>34<br>35<br>36<br>37<br>38<br>39<br>40<br>41   | TOHONO O'ODHAM LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL  Verlon M. Jose, Logislative Chairman  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27<br>28<br>29<br>30<br>31<br>32<br>33<br>34<br>35<br>36<br>37<br>38<br>39<br>40<br>41<br>42                                     | TOHONO O'ODHAM LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL  Verlon M. Jose, Legislative Chairman  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27<br>28<br>29<br>30<br>31<br>32<br>33<br>34<br>35<br>36<br>37<br>38<br>39<br>40<br>41<br>42<br>43                               | TOHONO O'ODHAM LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL  Verlon M. Jose, Logislative Chairman  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27<br>28<br>29<br>30<br>31<br>32<br>33<br>34<br>35<br>36<br>37<br>38<br>39<br>40<br>41<br>42<br>43<br>44                         | TOHONO O'ODHAM LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL  Verlon M. Jose, Logislative Chairman  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27<br>28<br>29<br>30<br>31<br>32<br>33<br>34<br>35<br>36<br>37<br>38<br>39<br>40<br>41<br>42<br>43                               | TOHONO O'ODHAM LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL  Verlon M. Jose, Logislative Chairman  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27<br>28<br>29<br>30<br>31<br>32<br>33<br>34<br>35<br>36<br>37<br>38<br>39<br>40<br>41<br>42<br>43<br>44<br>45<br>46<br>47       | TOHONO O'ODHAM LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL  Verlon M. Jose, Legislative Chairman  [8] APPROVED  on the 13 day of  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27<br>28<br>29<br>30<br>31<br>32<br>33<br>34<br>35<br>36<br>37<br>38<br>39<br>40<br>41<br>42<br>43<br>44<br>45<br>46<br>47<br>48 | TOHONO O'ODHAM LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL  Verlon M. Jose, Legislative Chairman  On the 3 day of   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27<br>28<br>29<br>30<br>31<br>32<br>33<br>34<br>35<br>36<br>37<br>38<br>39<br>40<br>41<br>42<br>43<br>44<br>45<br>46<br>47       | TOHONO O'ODHAM LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL  Verlon M. Jose, Legislative Chairman  On the 3 day of   |  |  |  |  |  |  |

|                  | RESOLUTION NO. <u>10-271</u><br>(Supporting the Proposed Pima County Wireless Integrated Network ("PCWIN") Tumamoc Hill Multi-<br>Tenant Consolidation Plan) |
|------------------|--|
|                  | Page 4 of 4  |
| 1 2              | Returned to the Legislative Secretary on the day of  |
| 2<br>3<br>4      | My 2010, at 4:34 o'clock, p.M.   |
| 4<br>5<br>6<br>7 | (Warmellal)  |
| 7<br>8           | Evonne Wilson, Legislative Secretary   |
| 9<br>10          |  |
| 11<br>12         |  |
| 13<br>14         |  |
| 15               |  |
| 16<br>17         |  |
| 18<br>19         |  |
| 20<br>21<br>22   |  |
| 23               |  |
| 24<br>25         |  |
| 26<br>27         |  |
| 28<br>29         |  |
| 30<br>31         |  |
| 32<br>33         |  |
| 34               |  |
| 35<br>36         |  |
| 37<br>38         |  |
| 39<br>40         |  |
| 41<br>42<br>43   |  |
| 43<br>44         |  |
| 44<br>45<br>46   |  |
| 47<br>48         |  |
| 49<br>50         |  |
| 51<br>52         |  |
| 53<br>54<br>55   |  |
| 55               |  |

ACTION: SUPPORTING THE PROPOSED PIMA COUNTY WIRELESS INTEGRATED NETWORK ("PCWIN") TUMAMOC

HILL MULTI-TENANT CONSOLIDATION PLAN

MOVED: COUNCILMAN TIMOTHY JOAQUIN SECOND: COUNCILWOMAN FRANCES CONDE

DATE: JULY 15, 2010

| DISTRICT           | LEGISLATIVE REPRESENTATIVES                          | # OF<br>VOTES | FOR | AGAINST | NOT<br>VOTING | ABSENT |
|--------------------|--|---------------|-----|---------|---------------|--------|
| SIF OIDAK<br>198.7 | 1. WAVALENE ROMERO (Nicholas Jose) 2. MARY LOPEZ     | 99.35         | X   |         | ,             |        |
|                    | ( )  | 99.35         | X   |         |               |        |
| SELLS              | 1. KIMBERLY LISTO                                    | 224.80        | X   |         |               |        |
| 449.6              | 2. EVELYN B. JUAN MANUEL                             | 224.80        | Х   |         |               |        |
| SCHUK TOAK         | 1. FRANCES B. CONDE                                  | 79.50         | x   |         |               |        |
| 159.0              | (Fredrick Jose) 2. LINDA PARLEY (Agnes Joaquin)      | 79.50         | х   |         |               |        |
| SAN XAVIER         | 1. FELICIA NUÑEZ                                     | 102.35        | x   |         |               | x      |
| 204.7              | 2. OLIVIA VILLEGAS-LISTON (Eugene Enis)              | 102.35        | Х   |         |               |        |
| SAN LUCY           | 1. LORRAINE EILER                                    | 93.55         | X   |         |               |        |
| 187.1              | 2. GLORIA RAMIREZ                                    | 93.55         | X   |         | х             |        |
| PISINEMO           | 1. CHESTER ANTONE                                    | 92.25         | X   |         |               |        |
| 184.5              | (Tony Murrietta) 2. EDWARD MANUEL (Gerald Fayuant)   | 92.25         | X   |         | х             |        |
| HICKIWAN           | 1. MICHELLE ORTEGA                                   | 87.25         | х   |         |               |        |
| 174.5              | 2. SANDRA ORTEGA                                     | 87.25         | Х   |         |               |        |
| GU VO              | 1. GRACE MANUEL                                      | 103.15        | Х   |         |               |        |
| 206.3              | 2. PAMELA ANGHILL (Angela Ortiz)                     | 103.15        | Х   |         |               |        |
| GU ACHI            | 1. TIMOTHY L. JOAQUIN                                | 115.05        | x   |         |               |        |
| 230.1              | 2. CYNTHIA E. MANUEL                                 | 115.05        | X   |         | X             |        |
| CHUKUT KUK         | 1. ETHEL GARCIA (Sara Mae Williams)                  | 138.50        | x   |         |               |        |
| 277.0              | 2. VERLON M. JOSE                                    | 138.50        | X   |         |               |        |
| BABOQUIVARI        | 1. FRANCES MIGUEL                                    | 162.0         | х   |         |               |        |
| 324.0              | (Roberta Harvey) 2. FRANCES G. ANTONE (Vernon Smith) | 162.0         | X   |         |               | X      |
|                    | 2,595.50   | 2,595.50      | -0- | -03-    | [02]          |        |