## RESOLUTION OF THE TOHONO O'ODHAM LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

(Supporting the Holding in *Redding Rancheria v. Hargan* and Authorizing Nation's Participation as an *Amicus in Saginaw Chippewa Indian Tribe of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan*)

## **RESOLUTION NO. 21-148**

the authority to "consult, negotiate and conclude agreements and contracts on behalf of the Tohono O'odham Nation with Federal, State and local governments and other Indian tribes" and to "promote, protect and provide for public health, peace, morals, education and general welfare of the Tohono O'odham Nation and its members (Constitution, Article VI, Section 1(f) and Section 1(c)(2)); and  WHEREAS, in 2017, the United States District Court, District of Columbia held that a tribe could supplement member health care self-insurance with Medicare-like rate ("MLR") discounts and the Catastrophic Health Emergency Fund ("CHEF") under the Indian Health Care Improvement Act (Redding Rancheria v. Hargan, 296 F.Supp.3d 256); and  WHEREAS, recently, the Saginaw Chippewa Indian Tribe appealed the dismissal of the Tribe's claim related to the administrator's failure to pay Medicare-like rates in Saginaw Chippewa Indian Tribe of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan, 748 Fed.Appx. 12; and  WHEREAS, although the district court decision did not criticize tribal rights under the Redding case, on appeal, Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan is raising arguments that could question the Redding case and the applicability of Medicare-like rates for all tribes who supplement health care with self-insurance; and although the Tohono O'odham Nation does not currently provide health care self-insurance, it is in the Nation's best interests to support tribes' access to supplemental health care funding sources and the holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan, 296 F.Supp.3d 256; and  WHEREAS, the Health and Human Services and Human Resources Development Committees reviewed the matter and recommend supporting the holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan and authorizing the Nation's participation as an amicus in Saginaw Chippewa of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan, 748 Fed.Appx. 12.  NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Tohono O'odham Legislative Council supports the holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan and au	1	WHEREAS,	the Constitution of the Tohono O'odham Nation vests the Legislative Council with
and other Indian tribes" and to "promote, protect and provide for public health, peace, morals, education and general welfare of the Tohono O'odham Nation and its members (Constitution, Article VI, Section 1(f) and Section 1(c)(2)); and in 2017, the United States District Court, District of Columbia held that a tribe could supplement member health care self-insurance with Medicare-like rate ("MLR") discounts and the Catastrophic Health Emergency Fund ("CHEF") under the Indian Health Care Improvement Act (Redding Rancheria v. Hargan, 296 F.Supp.3d 256); and recently, the Saginaw Chippewa Indian Tribe appealed the dismissal of the Tribe's claim related to the administrator's failure to pay Medicare-like rates in Saginaw Chippewa Indian Tribe of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan, 748 Fed.Appx. 12; and although the district court decision did not criticize tribal rights under the Redding case, on appeal, Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan is raising arguments that could question the Redding case and the applicability of Medicare-like rates for all tribes who supplement health care with self-insurance; and although the Tohono O'odham Nation does not currently provide health care self-insurance, it is in the Nation's best interests to support tribes' access to supplemental health care funding sources and the holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan, 296 F.Supp.3d 256; and  WHEREAS, the Health and Human Services and Human Resources Development Committees reviewed the matter and recommend supporting the holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan and authorizing the Nation's participation as an amicus in Saginaw Chippewa of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan, 748 Fed.Appx. 12.  NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Tohono O'odham Legislative Council supports the holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan and authorizes the Nation's participation as an amicus in Saginaw Chippewa of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue	2		
health, peace, morals, education and general welfare of the Tohono O'odham Nation and its members (Constitution, Article VI, Section 1(f) and Section 1(c)(2)); and  whereas, in 2017, the United States District Court, District of Columbia held that a tribe could supplement member health care self-insurance with Medicare-like rate ("MIR") discounts and the Catastrophic Health Emergency Fund ("CHEF") under the Indian Health Care Improvement Act (Redding Rancheria v. Hargan, 296 F.Supp.3d 256); and  whereas, recently, the Saginaw Chippewa Indian Tribe appealed the dismissal of the Tribe's claim related to the administrator's failure to pay Medicare-like rates in Saginaw Chippewa Indian Tribe of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan, 748 Fed.Appx. 12; and  whereas, although the district court decision did not criticize tribal rights under the Redding case, on appeal, Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan is raising arguments that could question the Redding case and the applicability of Medicare-like rates for all tribes who supplement health care with self-insurance; and  whereas, although the Tohono O'odham Nation does not currently provide health care self- insurance, it is in the Nation's best interests to support tribes' access to supplemental health care funding sources and the holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan, 296 F.Supp.3d 256; and  whereas, the lealth and Human Services and Human Resources Development Committees reviewed the matter and recommend supporting the holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan and authorizing the Nation's participation as an amicus in Saginaw Chippewa of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan, 748 Fed.Appx. 12.  NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Tohono O'odham Legislative Council supports the holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan and authorizes the Nation's participation as an amicus in Saginaw Chippewa of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue	3	r	
Nation and its members (Constitution, Article VI, Section 1(f) and Section 1(c)(2)); and  WHEREAS, in 2017, the United States District Court, District of Columbia held that a tribe could supplement member health care self-insurance with Medicare-like rate ("MLR") discounts and the Catastrophic Health Emergency Fund ("CHEF") under the Indian Health Care Improvement Act (Redding Rancheria v. Hargan, 296 F.Supp.3d 256); and  WHEREAS, recently, the Saginaw Chippewa Indian Tribe appealed the dismissal of the Tribe's claim related to the administrator's failure to pay Medicare-like rates in Saginaw Chippewa Indian Tribe of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan, 748 Fed.Appx. 12; and  WHEREAS, although the district court decision did not criticize tribal rights under the Redding case, on appeal, Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan is raising arguments that could question the Redding case and the applicability of Medicare-like rates for all tribes who supplement health care with self-insurance; and  WHEREAS, although the Tohono O'odham Nation does not currently provide health care self-insurance, it is in the Nation's best interests to support tribes' access to supplemental health care funding sources and the holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan, 296 F.Supp.3d 256; and  WHEREAS, the Health and Human Services and Human Resources Development Committees reviewed the matter and recommend supporting the holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan and authorizing the Nation's participation as an amicus in Saginaw Chippewa of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan, 748 Fed.Appx. 12.  NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Tohono O'odham Legislative Council supports the holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan and authorizes the Nation's participation as an amicus in Saginaw Chippewa of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue	4	*	
1(c)(2)); and  WHEREAS, in 2017, the United States District Court, District of Columbia held that a tribe could supplement member health care self-insurance with Medicare-like rate ("MLR") discounts and the Catastrophic Health Emergency Fund ("CHEF") under the Indian Health Care Improvement Act (Redding Rancheria v. Hargan, 296 F.Supp.3d 256); and  WHEREAS, recently, the Saginaw Chippewa Indian Tribe appealed the dismissal of the Tribe's claim related to the administrator's failure to pay Medicare-like rates in Saginaw Chippewa Indian Tribe of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan, 748 Fed.Appx. 12; and although the district court decision did not criticize tribal rights under the Redding case, on appeal, Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan is raising arguments that could question the Redding case and the applicability of Medicare-like rates for all tribes who supplement health care with self-insurance; and although the Tohono O'odham Nation does not currently provide health care self-insurance, it is in the Nation's best interests to support tribes' access to supplemental health care funding sources and the holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan, 296 F.Supp.3d 256; and  WHEREAS, the Health and Human Services and Human Resources Development Committees reviewed the matter and recommend supporting the holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan and authorizing the Nation's participation as an amicus in Saginaw Chippewa of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan, 748 Fed.Appx. 12.  NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Tohono O'odham Legislative Council supports the holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan and authorizes the Nation's participation as an amicus in Saginaw Chippewa of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue	5		
WHEREAS, in 2017, the United States District Court, District of Columbia held that a tribe could supplement member health care self-insurance with Medicare-like rate ("MLR") discounts and the Catastrophic Health Emergency Fund ("CHEF") under the Indian Health Care Improvement Act (Redding Rancheria v. Hargan, 296 F.Supp.3d 256); and  WHEREAS, recently, the Saginaw Chippewa Indian Tribe appealed the dismissal of the Tribe's claim related to the administrator's failure to pay Medicare-like rates in Saginaw Chippewa Indian Tribe of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan, 748 Fed.Appx. 12; and although the district court decision did not criticize tribal rights under the Redding case, on appeal, Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan is raising arguments that could question the Redding case and the applicability of Medicare-like rates for all tribes who supplement health care with self-insurance; and although the Tohono O'odham Nation does not currently provide health care self-insurance, it is in the Nation's best interests to support tribes' access to supplemental health care funding sources and the holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan, 296 F.Supp.3d 256; and  WHEREAS, WHEREAS, The Health and Human Services and Human Resources Development Committees reviewed the matter and recommend supporting the holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan and authorizing the Nation's participation as an amicus in Saginaw Chippewa of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan, 748 Fed.Appx. 12.  NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Tohono O'odham Legislative Council supports the holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan and authorizes the Nation's participation as an amicus in Saginaw Chippewa of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue	6		Nation and its members (Constitution, Article VI, Section 1(f) and Section
could supplement member health care self-insurance with Medicare-like rate ("MLR") discounts and the Catastrophic Health Emergency Fund ("CHEF") under the Indian Health Care Improvement Act (Redding Rancheria v. Hargan, 296 F.Supp.3d 256); and  WHEREAS, recently, the Saginaw Chippewa Indian Tribe appealed the dismissal of the Tribe's claim related to the administrator's failure to pay Medicare-like rates in Saginaw Chippewa Indian Tribe of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan, 748 Fed.Appx. 12; and  WHEREAS, although the district court decision did not criticize tribal rights under the Redding case, on appeal, Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan is raising arguments that could question the Redding case and the applicability of Medicare-like rates for all tribes who supplement health care with self-insurance; and although the Tohono O'odham Nation does not currently provide health care self- insurance, it is in the Nation's best interests to support tribes' access to supplemental health care funding sources and the holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan, 296 F.Supp.3d 256; and WHEREAS, the Health and Human Services and Human Resources Development Committees reviewed the matter and recommend supporting the holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan and authorizing the Nation's participation as an amicus in Saginaw Chippewa of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan, 748 Fed.Appx. 12.  NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Tohono O'odham Legislative Council supports the holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan and authorizes the Nation's participation as an amicus in Saginaw Chippewa of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue	7		
("MLR") discounts and the Catastrophic Health Emergency Fund ("CHEF") under the Indian Health Care Improvement Act (Redding Rancheria v. Hargan, 296 F.Supp.3d 256); and  WHEREAS, recently, the Saginaw Chippewa Indian Tribe appealed the dismissal of the Tribe's claim related to the administrator's failure to pay Medicare-like rates in Saginaw Chippewa Indian Tribe of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan, 748 Fed.Appx. 12; and  WHEREAS, although the district court decision did not criticize tribal rights under the Redding case, on appeal, Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan is raising arguments that could question the Redding case and the applicability of Medicare-like rates for all tribes who supplement health care with self-insurance; and  WHEREAS, although the Tohono O'odham Nation does not currently provide health care self-insurance, it is in the Nation's best interests to support tribes' access to supplemental health care funding sources and the holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan, 296 F.Supp.3d 256; and  WHEREAS, the Health and Human Services and Human Resources Development Committees reviewed the matter and recommend supporting the holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan and authorizing the Nation's participation as an amicus in Saginaw Chippewa of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan, 748 Fed.Appx. 12.  NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Tohono O'odham Legislative Council supports the holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan and authorizes the Nation's participation as an amicus in Saginaw Chippewa of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue	8	WHEREAS,	
the Indian Health Care Improvement Act (Redding Rancheria v. Hargan, 296 F.Supp.3d 256); and  WHEREAS, recently, the Saginaw Chippewa Indian Tribe appealed the dismissal of the Tribe's claim related to the administrator's failure to pay Medicare-like rates in Saginaw Chippewa Indian Tribe of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan, 748 Fed.Appx. 12; and  although the district court decision did not criticize tribal rights under the Redding case, on appeal, Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan is raising arguments that could question the Redding case and the applicability of Medicare-like rates for all tribes who supplement health care with self-insurance; and  although the Tohono O'odham Nation does not currently provide health care self-insurance, it is in the Nation's best interests to support tribes' access to supplemental health care funding sources and the holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan, 296 F.Supp.3d 256; and  WHEREAS, the Health and Human Services and Human Resources Development Committees reviewed the matter and recommend supporting the holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan and authorizing the Nation's participation as an amicus in Saginaw Chippewa of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan, 748 Fed.Appx. 12.  NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Tohono O'odham Legislative Council supports the holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan and authorizes the Nation's participation as an amicus in Saginaw Chippewa of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue	9		
F.Supp.3d 256); and  WHEREAS, recently, the Saginaw Chippewa Indian Tribe appealed the dismissal of the Tribe's claim related to the administrator's failure to pay Medicare-like rates in Saginaw Chippewa Indian Tribe of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan, 748 Fed.Appx. 12; and  although the district court decision did not criticize tribal rights under the Redding case, on appeal, Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan is raising arguments that could question the Redding case and the applicability of Medicare-like rates for all tribes who supplement health care with self-insurance; and  WHEREAS, although the Tohono O'odham Nation does not currently provide health care self-insurance, it is in the Nation's best interests to support tribes' access to supplemental health care funding sources and the holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan, 296 F.Supp.3d 256; and  WHEREAS, the Health and Human Services and Human Resources Development Committees reviewed the matter and recommend supporting the holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan and authorizing the Nation's participation as an amicus in Saginaw Chippewa of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan, 748 Fed.Appx. 12.  NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Tohono O'odham Legislative Council supports the holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan and authorizes the Nation's participation as an amicus in Saginaw Chippewa of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue	10		
WHEREAS, recently, the Saginaw Chippewa Indian Tribe appealed the dismissal of the Tribe's claim related to the administrator's failure to pay Medicare-like rates in Saginaw Chippewa Indian Tribe of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan, 748 Fed.Appx. 12; and  WHEREAS, although the district court decision did not criticize tribal rights under the Redding case, on appeal, Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan is raising arguments that could question the Redding case and the applicability of Medicare-like rates for all tribes who supplement health care with self-insurance; and WHEREAS, although the Tohono O'odham Nation does not currently provide health care self-insurance, it is in the Nation's best interests to support tribes' access to supplemental health care funding sources and the holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan, 296 F.Supp.3d 256; and  WHEREAS, the Health and Human Services and Human Resources Development Committees reviewed the matter and recommend supporting the holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan and authorizing the Nation's participation as an amicus in Saginaw Chippewa of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan, 748 Fed.Appx. 12.  NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Tohono O'odham Legislative Council supports the holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan and authorizes the Nation's participation as an amicus in Saginaw Chippewa of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue	11		the Indian Health Care Improvement Act (Redding Rancheria v. Hargan, 296
Tribe's claim related to the administrator's failure to pay Medicare-like rates in  Saginaw Chippewa Indian Tribe of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue Shield of  Michigan, 748 Fed.Appx. 12; and  WHEREAS, although the district court decision did not criticize tribal rights under the  Redding case, on appeal, Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan is raising arguments that could question the Redding case and the applicability of Medicare-like rates for all tribes who supplement health care with self-insurance; and  WHEREAS, although the Tohono O'odham Nation does not currently provide health care self- insurance, it is in the Nation's best interests to support tribes' access to supplemental health care funding sources and the holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan, 296 F.Supp.3d 256; and  WHEREAS, the Health and Human Services and Human Resources Development Committees reviewed the matter and recommend supporting the holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan and authorizing the Nation's participation as an amicus in Saginaw Chippewa of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan, 748 Fed.Appx. 12.  NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Tohono O'odham Legislative Council supports the holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan and authorizes the Nation's participation as an amicus in Saginaw Chippewa of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue	12		
Michigan, 748 Fed.Appx. 12; and  WHEREAS, although the district court decision did not criticize tribal rights under the Redding case, on appeal, Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan is raising arguments that could question the Redding case and the applicability of Medicare-like rates for all tribes who supplement health care with self-insurance; and  WHEREAS, although the Tohono O'odham Nation does not currently provide health care self-insurance, it is in the Nation's best interests to support tribes' access to supplemental health care funding sources and the holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan, 296 F.Supp.3d 256; and  WHEREAS, the Health and Human Services and Human Resources Development Committees reviewed the matter and recommend supporting the holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan and authorizing the Nation's participation as an amicus in Saginaw Chippewa of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan, 748 Fed.Appx. 12.  NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Tohono O'odham Legislative Council supports the holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan and authorizes the Nation's participation as an amicus in Saginaw Chippewa of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue	13	WHEREAS,	
Michigan, 748 Fed.Appx. 12; and  WHEREAS, although the district court decision did not criticize tribal rights under the Redding case, on appeal, Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan is raising arguments that could question the Redding case and the applicability of Medicare-like rates for all tribes who supplement health care with self-insurance; and  WHEREAS, although the Tohono O'odham Nation does not currently provide health care self-insurance, it is in the Nation's best interests to support tribes' access to supplemental health care funding sources and the holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan, 296 F.Supp.3d 256; and  WHEREAS, the Health and Human Services and Human Resources Development Committees reviewed the matter and recommend supporting the holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan and authorizing the Nation's participation as an amicus in Saginaw Chippewa of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan, 748 Fed.Appx. 12.  NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Tohono O'odham Legislative Council supports the holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan and authorizes the Nation's participation as an amicus in Saginaw Chippewa of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue	14		
WHEREAS, although the district court decision did not criticize tribal rights under the Redding case, on appeal, Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan is raising arguments that could question the Redding case and the applicability of Medicare-like rates for all tribes who supplement health care with self-insurance; and  WHEREAS, although the Tohono O'odham Nation does not currently provide health care self-insurance, it is in the Nation's best interests to support tribes' access to supplemental health care funding sources and the holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan, 296 F.Supp.3d 256; and  WHEREAS, the Health and Human Services and Human Resources Development Committees reviewed the matter and recommend supporting the holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan and authorizing the Nation's participation as an amicus in Saginaw Chippewa of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan, 748 Fed.Appx. 12.  NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Tohono O'odham Legislative Council supports the holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan and authorizes the Nation's participation as an amicus in Saginaw Chippewa of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue	15		Saginaw Chippewa Indian Tribe of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue Shield of
18 Redding case, on appeal, Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan is raising arguments 19 that could question the Redding case and the applicability of Medicare-like rates 20 for all tribes who supplement health care with self-insurance; and 21 WHEREAS, although the Tohono O'odham Nation does not currently provide health care self- 22 insurance, it is in the Nation's best interests to support tribes' access to 23 supplemental health care funding sources and the holding in Redding Rancheria 24 v. Hargan, 296 F.Supp.3d 256; and 25 WHEREAS, the Health and Human Services and Human Resources Development Committees 26 reviewed the matter and recommend supporting the holding in Redding 27 Rancheria v. Hargan and authorizing the Nation's participation as an amicus in 28 Saginaw Chippewa of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan, 748 29 Fed.Appx. 12. 30 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Tohono O'odham Legislative Council supports the 31 holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan and authorizes the Nation's 32 participation as an amicus in Saginaw Chippewa of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue	16		1945 (1.1.4 to 1.1.4
that could question the Redding case and the applicability of Medicare-like rates for all tribes who supplement health care with self-insurance; and  WHEREAS, although the Tohono O'odham Nation does not currently provide health care self- insurance, it is in the Nation's best interests to support tribes' access to supplemental health care funding sources and the holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan, 296 F.Supp.3d 256; and  WHEREAS, the Health and Human Services and Human Resources Development Committees reviewed the matter and recommend supporting the holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan and authorizing the Nation's participation as an amicus in Saginaw Chippewa of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan, 748 Fed.Appx. 12.  NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Tohono O'odham Legislative Council supports the holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan and authorizes the Nation's participation as an amicus in Saginaw Chippewa of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue	17	WHEREAS,	
WHEREAS, although the Tohono O'odham Nation does not currently provide health care self- insurance, it is in the Nation's best interests to support tribes' access to supplemental health care funding sources and the holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan, 296 F.Supp.3d 256; and  WHEREAS, the Health and Human Services and Human Resources Development Committees reviewed the matter and recommend supporting the holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan and authorizing the Nation's participation as an amicus in Saginaw Chippewa of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan, 748 Fed.Appx. 12.  NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Tohono O'odham Legislative Council supports the holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan and authorizes the Nation's participation as an amicus in Saginaw Chippewa of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue	18		
WHEREAS, although the Tohono O'odham Nation does not currently provide health care self- insurance, it is in the Nation's best interests to support tribes' access to supplemental health care funding sources and the holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan, 296 F.Supp.3d 256; and  WHEREAS, the Health and Human Services and Human Resources Development Committees reviewed the matter and recommend supporting the holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan and authorizing the Nation's participation as an amicus in Saginaw Chippewa of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan, 748 Fed.Appx. 12.  NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Tohono O'odham Legislative Council supports the holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan and authorizes the Nation's participation as an amicus in Saginaw Chippewa of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue	19		
insurance, it is in the Nation's best interests to support tribes' access to supplemental health care funding sources and the holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan, 296 F.Supp.3d 256; and  WHEREAS, the Health and Human Services and Human Resources Development Committees reviewed the matter and recommend supporting the holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan and authorizing the Nation's participation as an amicus in Saginaw Chippewa of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan, 748 Fed.Appx. 12.  NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Tohono O'odham Legislative Council supports the holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan and authorizes the Nation's participation as an amicus in Saginaw Chippewa of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue	20		
supplemental health care funding sources and the holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan, 296 F.Supp.3d 256; and  WHEREAS, the Health and Human Services and Human Resources Development Committees reviewed the matter and recommend supporting the holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan and authorizing the Nation's participation as an amicus in Saginaw Chippewa of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan, 748 Fed.Appx. 12.  NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Tohono O'odham Legislative Council supports the holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan and authorizes the Nation's participation as an amicus in Saginaw Chippewa of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue	21	WHEREAS,	
<ul> <li>v. Hargan, 296 F.Supp.3d 256; and</li> <li>WHEREAS, the Health and Human Services and Human Resources Development Committees reviewed the matter and recommend supporting the holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan and authorizing the Nation's participation as an amicus in Saginaw Chippewa of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan, 748 Fed.Appx. 12.</li> <li>NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Tohono O'odham Legislative Council supports the holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan and authorizes the Nation's participation as an amicus in Saginaw Chippewa of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue</li> </ul>	22		insurance, it is in the Nation's best interests to support tribes' access to
WHEREAS, the Health and Human Services and Human Resources Development Committees reviewed the matter and recommend supporting the holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan and authorizing the Nation's participation as an amicus in Saginaw Chippewa of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan, 748 Fed.Appx. 12.  NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Tohono O'odham Legislative Council supports the holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan and authorizes the Nation's participation as an amicus in Saginaw Chippewa of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue	23		supplemental health care funding sources and the holding in Redding Rancheria
reviewed the matter and recommend supporting the holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan and authorizing the Nation's participation as an amicus in Saginaw Chippewa of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan, 748 Fed.Appx. 12.  NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Tohono O'odham Legislative Council supports the holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan and authorizes the Nation's participation as an amicus in Saginaw Chippewa of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue	24		
27 Rancheria v. Hargan and authorizing the Nation's participation as an amicus in 28 Saginaw Chippewa of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan, 748 29 Fed.Appx. 12. 30 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Tohono O'odham Legislative Council supports the 31 holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan and authorizes the Nation's 32 participation as an amicus in Saginaw Chippewa of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue	25	WHEREAS,	
Saginaw Chippewa of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan, 748 Fed.Appx. 12.  NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Tohono O'odham Legislative Council supports the holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan and authorizes the Nation's participation as an amicus in Saginaw Chippewa of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue	26		
Fed.Appx. 12.  NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Tohono O'odham Legislative Council supports the holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan and authorizes the Nation's participation as an amicus in Saginaw Chippewa of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue	27		
NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Tohono O'odham Legislative Council supports the holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan and authorizes the Nation's participation as an amicus in Saginaw Chippewa of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue	28		Saginaw Chippewa of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan, 748
holding in <i>Redding Rancheria v. Hargan</i> and authorizes the Nation's participation as an <i>amicus</i> in <i>Saginaw Chippewa of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue</i>	29		
participation as an <i>amicus</i> in <i>Saginaw Chippewa of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue</i>	30	NOW, THERI	
	31		
33 Shield of Michigan, 748 Fed. Appx. 12.	32		participation as an amicus in Saginaw Chippewa of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue
	33		Shield of Michigan, 748 Fed.Appx. 12.

## RESOLUTION NO. 21-148

50 51 52 (Supporting the Holding in Redding Rancheria v. Hargan and Authorizing Nation's Participation as an Amicus in Saginaw Chippewa Indian Tribe of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan) Page 2 of 3

The foregoing Resolution was passed by the Tohono O'odham Legislative Council on the  $06^{\mathrm{TH}}$ 

2	day of MAY, 2021 at a meeting	ig at which a quorum was present with a vote of 2,961.9 FOR; -0-
3	AGAINST; [01] NOT VOTING; a	nd 213.8 [03] ABSENT, pursuant to the powers vested in the Council
4	by Article VI, Section 1(f) and	Section 1(c)(2) of the Constitution of the Tohono O'odham Nation,
5	adonted by the Tohono O'odh	lam Nation on January 18, 1986; and approved by the Acting Deputy
6	Assistant Secretary - Indian A	Affairs (Operations) on March 6, 1986, pursuant to Section 16 of the
7	Act of June 18, 1934 (48 Stat.9	
8		
9		TOHONO O'ODHAM LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL
0		
1		Way the Way
2		MINITURY ALL TO
3		Timothy Joaquin, Legislative Chairman
14		
15		
16		
16 17		V
	ATTEST:	
18	ALTEST:	
19	(Manuelle	
20	<b>Evonne Wilson, Legislative S</b>	ecretary
21 22 23 24 25 26		
22	10 day of Mae	2021
23	_ to day of	, 2021
24 25	Call Decolution was submi	tted for approval to the office of the Chairman of the Tohono
25	O'odham Nation on the	day of, 2021 at 2.200 o'clock,m.
20	O'odnam Nation on the	of Section 5 of Article VII of the Constitution and will become
27	pursuant to the provisions	or upon his failure to either approve or disapprove it within 48
28	hours of submittal.	of upon his familie to extract appears and
29 30	nours of submittal.	
3U 21		TOHONO O'ODHAM LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL
31 32 33		
32		hand the major
33		MINIMUL XI /
34		Timothy Joaquin, Legislative Chairman
35		Jimothy Jones July 200
36		v
37		
38		$\mathcal{M}$
39		on the
40	[ APPROVED	
41	I I STOLENDOVIED	at 2:28 o'clock, .m.
42	[ ] DISAPPROVED	at o clock,m.
43		)
44		
45		NED NORRIS, JR., CHAIRMAN
46		TOHONO O'ODHAM NATION
47		TOHONO O ODDINIM MATTON
48		
49		

## RESOLUTION NO. 21-148

(Supporting the Holding in *Redding Rancheria v. Hargan* and Authorizing Nation's Participation as an *Amicus in Saginaw Chippewa Indian Tribe of Michigan v. Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan*) Page 3 of 3

Returned to the Legislative Secretary of 2021, at 37	o clock,m		
Evonne Wilson, Legislative Secretary			

ACTION: SUPPORTING THE HOLDING IN REDDING RANCHERIA V. HARGAN AND AUTHORIZING NATION'S

PARTICIPATION AS AN AMICUS IN SAGINAW CHIPPEWA INDIAN TRIBE OF MICHIGAN V. BLUE CROSS

**BLUE SHIELD OF MICHIGAN** 

MOVED: COUNCILWOMAN LUCINDA ALLEN

SECOND: COUNCILMAN LEANDER MASE

DATE: MAY 06, 2021

E: MAY 06,	2021				,	
DISTRICT	LEGISLATIVE REPRESENTATIVES	# OF VOTES	FOR	AGAINST	NOT VOTING	ABSENT
BABOQUIVARI 384.8	(Francine Schooling)	192.40 192.40	X			
	2. ALBERTA J. RAY (Frances G. Antone)	192.40	X			
CHUKUT KUK 347.5	(Juanita Homer)	173.75 173.75	X		2	
	2. MARLAKAY HENRY (Billman Lopez)		X			
GU ACHI 279.3		139.65	X			
275.5	2. TIMOTHY L. JOAQUIN	139.65	X			
GU VO 264.5		132.25	X			
204.3	2. GRACE MANUEL ( )	132.25	X			
HICKIWAN 213.8		106.90				X
213.8	2. LOUIS R. LOPEZ (Delma M. Garcia)	106.90				X
PISINEMO 230.7		115.35	X			
230.2	2. MARIETTA MARTIN	115.35	X			
SAN LUCY		117.80	x			
235.6	2. JANA MONTANA (Lorraine M. Eiler)	117.80	Х			
SAN XAVIEL		119.45	X			
238.3	2. DANIEL L.A. PRESTON III (Absent) (Racheal Vilson-Stoner) (Present)	119.45	X			X
	1. QUINTIN C. LOPEZ (Agnes V. Joaquin)	94.50	X			
189.	2. TERESA F. DONAHUE (Alberta Espinoza)	94.50	X			
SELL 547.	- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	273.55	X			
547.	2. ARTHUR WILSON	273.55	X			
SIF OIDA		122.25	X			
244.	2. MARY LOPEZ (Ann M. Garcia)	122.25	X		X	
	TOTAL	3,175.7	2,961.9	-0-	[01]	213.8 [03]