RESOLUTION OF THE TOHONO O'ODHAM LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL (Approving Comments Drafted by Earthjustice to the Proposed "Waters of the United States Rule" (Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OW-2021-0602) and Authorizing the Nation to Join an *Amicus Brief* Opposed to Limiting the Scope of Federal Water Law under the Waters of the United States Rule)

RESOLUTION NO. 22-039

1WHEREAS,the Constitution of the Tohono O'odham Nation ("Nation") vests the Legislative2Council with the power to "consult, negotiate and conclude agreements and3contracts on behalf of the Tohono O'odham Nation with Federal, State and local4governments and other Indian tribes or their departments, agencies, or political5subdivisions, or with private persons or organizations on all matters within the6authority of the Tohono O'odham Council" (Constitution, Article VI, Section 1(f));7and

on August 30, 2021, the U.S. District Court for the District of Arizona, citing serious 8 WHEREAS. 9 legal and scientific errors, vacated the Navigable Waters Protection Rule ("Navigable Waters Rule") promulgated by the Trump Administration which cut 10 millions of streams and wetlands out of safeguards guaranteed by the Clean 11 Water Act, 86 Fed. Reg. 69372 (Dec. 7, 2021)("Act"); without Clean Water Act 12 protections, industries can dump uncontrolled discharges of toxic pollution— 13 harming drinking water supplies, recreational waters, wildlife, animals, and 14 15 people; and

16WHEREAS,the Trump-era rule was particularly damaging for waters throughout the West,17Southwest and Great Lakes and disproportionately threatened the livelihoods18and traditions of the Pascua Yaqui Tribe, Tohono O'odham Nation, Quinault19Indian Nation, Menominee Indian Tribe of Wisconsin, Fond du Lac Band of Lake20Superior Chippewa, and the Bad River Band of Lake Superior Chippewa; and

WHEREAS, until a new rule is finalized, the United States has returned to water protections
that were in place for years starting in 1986 ("Pre-2015 Regulatory Regime); and

23WHEREAS,the Biden administration has been working on revisions to the Pre-201524Regulatory Regime and on December 7, 2021 the U.S. Environmental Protection25Agency and the Army Corps of Engineers (the "Agencies") published a proposed26rule revising the definition of "Waters of the United States" ("Proposed Rule")27under the Clean Water Act; and

28WHEREAS,while the Proposed Rule appropriately reverses much of the damaging and29vacated Navigable Waters Rule, renewing protections for interstate waters and30withdrawing numerous other harmful exclusions, the Proposed Rule unlawfully31narrows protections for tributaries and wetlands compared with the Pre-2015

RESOLUTION NO. 22-039

(Approving Comments Drafted by Earthjustice to the Proposed "Waters of the United States Rule" (Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OW-2021-0602) and Authorizing the Nation to Join an *Amicus Brief* Opposed to Limiting the Scope of Federal Water Law under the Waters of the United States Rule) Page 2 of 4

1								
1		Regulatory Regime, maintains two existing unlawful exclusions, and falls short of						
2		protecting our nation's waters and fulfilling the Clean Water Act's goals; and						
3	WHEREAS,	Earthjustice has drafted comments to the Proposed Rule, on behalf of the Nation						
4		and other American Indian tribes, that advance the Act's statutory objective and						
5		base the rule in the best available science as well as address unfulfilled promises						
6		made by the Act nearly half a century since its enactment; and						
7	WHEREAS,	the Nation would like to submit these comments, which boost protections for						
8		waterways, rivers, and ephemeral streams that are critical for the environment,						
9		as its own comments to the Proposed Rule; and						
10	WHEREAS,	the Nation's Government Comment Guidelines requires Legislative Council						
11		approval of written comments submitted on the Nation's behalf to federal, state,						
12		and local governments on proposed rules, regulations, laws, and proposed						
13		actions that may affect the Nation (1 Tohono O'odham Code Chapter 3, Section						
14		3101); and						
15	WHEREAS,	additionally, on January 24, 2022, the U.S. Supreme Court agreed to hear the case						
16		of Sackett v. EPA, which has asked the Supreme Court to clarify what constitutes						
17		"Waters of the United States"; and						
18	WHEREAS,	the Nation would like to join an <i>amicus</i> brief opposed to limiting the scope of						
19		federal water law under the "Waters of the United States" rule; and						
20	WHEREAS,	the Nation's Water Resources, Agricultural and Natural Resources, and Cultural						
21		Preservation Committees have reviewed and recommend submitting						
22		Earthjustice's comments to the Proposed Rule on behalf of the Nation and						
23		authorizing the Nation to join an <i>amicus brief</i> opposed to a narrow definition for						
24		the "Waters of the United States."						
25	NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Tohono O'odham Legislative Council approves and							
26		authorizes:						
27		1. submitting Earthjustice's comments to the Proposed Rule on behalf of the						
28		Nation; and						
29		2. joining an <i>amicus</i> brief opposed to limiting the scope of federal water law						
30		under the "Waters of the United States" rule.						
31								
32								
33								

RESOLUTION NO. 22-039

(Approving Comments Drafted by Earthjustice to the Proposed "Waters of the United States Rule" (Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OW-2021-0602) and Authorizing the Nation to Join an *Amicus Brief* Opposed to Limiting the Scope of Federal Water Law under the Waters of the United States Rule) Page 3 of 4

The foregoing Resolution was passed by the Tohono O'odham Legislative Council on the <u>02ND</u> day of <u>FEBRUARY</u>, <u>2022</u> at a meeting at which a quorum was present with a vote of <u>3,218.0</u> FOR; <u>-0-</u> AGAINST<u>-0-</u> NOT VOTING; and <u>[01]</u> ABSENT, pursuant to the powers vested in the Council by, Article VI, Section 1(f) of the Constitution of the Tohono O'odham Nation, adopted by the Tohono O'odham Nation on January 18, 1986; and approved by the Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary - Indian Affairs (Operations) on March 6, 1986, pursuant to Section 16 of the Act of June 18, 1934 (48 Stat.984).

TOHONO O'ODHAM LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

nothy Joaqu n/ Legislati e Chairman day of _, 2022

AT/TES

APPROVED

[] DISAPPROVED

Evonne Wilson, Legislative Secretary

My , 2022 day of

Said Resolution was submitted for approval to the office of the Chairman of the Tohono O'odham Nation on the _____ day of ______, 2022 at _______, 2022 at ________, 2022 at _______, pursuant to the provisions of Section 5 of Article VM of the Constitution and will become effective upon his approval or upon his failure to either approve or disapprove it within 48 hours of submittal.

TOHONO O'ODHAM LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

hy Joaqu Chairman

on the _____ day of Filmy_____, 2022 at <u>/ 2' (K</u>o'clock, ...m. NED NORRIS, JR., CHAIRMAN

TOHONO O'ODHAM NATION

RESOLUTION NO. 22-039

(Approving Comments Drafted by Earthjustice to the Proposed "Waters of the United States Rule" (Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OW-2021-0602) and Authorizing the Nation to Join an *Amicus Brief* Opposed to Limiting the Scope of Federal Water Law under the Waters of the United States Rule) Page 4 of 4

Returned to the Legislative Secretary on the _____day of <u>_____, 2022, at 2508</u>0'clock, _____.m.

Evonne Wilson, Legislative Secretary

ACTION: APPROVING COMMENTS DRAFTED BY EARTHJUSTICE TO THE PROPOSED "WATERS OF THE UNITED STATES RULE" (DOCKET ID NO. EPA-HQ-OW-2021-0602) AND AUTHORIZING THE NATION TO JOIN AN *AMICUS BRIEF* OPPOSED TO LIMITING THE SCOPE OF FEDERAL WATER LAW UNDER THE WATERS OF THE UNITED STATES RULE

MOVED: COUNCILMAN DANIEL L.A. PRESTON III

SECOND: COUNCILWOMAN JANICE FELIX

DATE: FEBRUARY 02, 2022

DISTRICT	LEGISLATIVE REPRESENTATIVES	# OF VOTES	FOR	AGAINST	NOT VOTING	ABSENT
SIF OIDAK	1. MARY LOPEZ	122.8	x			
245.6	(Ann M. Garcia)	122.8	x			
	2. LUCINDA ALLEN (Francis Homewytewa)					
SELLS	1. ARTHUR WILSON	278.25	x			
556.5	()	278.25	x			
	2. EVELYN JUAN-MANUEL (Arvada Liston)	278.23				
SCHUK TOAK	1. TERESA F. DONAHUE	95.25	х			
190.5	(Alberta Espinoza) 2. DELISA M. RAMON (Sharon A. Francisco)	95.25	x			
SAN XAVIER	1. DANIEL L.A. PRESTON III	121.3	x			
242.6	(Racheal Vilson-Stoner)	121.3	х			
	2. JANICE FELIX (Adam P. Andrews)					
SAN LUCY	1. JANA MONTANA	121.9	x			
243.8	(Lorraine M. Eiler)	121.9	x			
	2. ROGER MANUEL (Christina Andrews)					
PISINEMO	1. MARIETTA MARTIN	116.35	x			
232.7	()	116.35	x			
	2. EDWARD D. MANUEL (Monica Morgan)	110.00				
HICKIWAN	1. LOUIS R. LOPEZ	108.3	x			
216.6	() 2. SANDRA D. ORTEGA <i>(Absent)</i> (Delma M. Garcia) <i>(Present)</i>	108.3	x			х
GU VO	1. GRACE MANUEL	135.35	x			
270.7	() 2. CYNTHIA SAAVEDRA (Dallas Lorris)	135.35	x			
GU ACHI	(Dallas Lewis) 1. TIMOTHY L. JOAQUIN	139.25	x			
278.5	()		x			
	2. VICTORIA HOBBS (Tonya L. Joaquin)	139.25	A			
CHUKUT KUK	1. MARLAKAY HENRY	175.8	x			
351.6	(Billman Lopez) 2. VIVIAN JUAN-SAUNDERS	175.8	x			
	(Cornelius Antone)					
BABOQUIVARI	1. ALBERTA J. RAY	194.45	x			
388.9	(Frances G. Antone) 2. LEANDER MASE (Francine Schooling)	194.45	x			
	TOTAL	3,218.0	3,218.0	-0-	-0-	[01]