RESOLUTION OF THE TOHONO O'ODHAM LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL (NCAI Support in Opposition to U.S. Supreme Court Decision in Duro v. Reina)

RES. NO. 404-90

1	WHEREAS, on May 29, 1990 the United States Supreme Court i	n the
2	case of Duro v. Reina, No. 88-6546, held, Indian T	ribes
3	cannot exercise criminal jurisdiction over non-m	ember
4	Indians on the Reservation; and	
5	WHEREAS, it is the position of the Tohono O'odham Legisl	ative
6	6 Council that the United States Supreme Court decisi	on in
7	Duro does not take into consideration the extreme neg	gative
8	impact it will have on Indian tribes, and the tr	ribes'
9	ability and self-determination to provide a safe	iving
10	environment to all persons (members, non-members, and	d non-
11	Indians) residing on the Tohono O'odham Nation; and	l
12	WHEREAS, it is the position of the Tohono O'odham Legis	ative
13	Council that the United States Supreme Court decision	on in
14	Duro is a racist decision; and	
15	WHEREAS, it is the position of the Tohono O'odham Legis	lative
16	16 Council that the United States Supreme Court decision	on in
17	Duro has the effect of turning Indian against	Indian
18	throughout Indian Country; and	
19	WHEREAS, the Constitution of the Tohono O'odham Nation, app	proved
20		
20	March 6, 1986, rightfully asserts sovereign po	
21	in the state of the mehone Oledhow I	wers,
	authority, and jurisdiction of the Tohono O'odham I	owers, Nation
21	authority, and jurisdiction of the Tohono O'odham land its government "to all persons" within	owers, Nation
21 22	authority, and jurisdiction of the Tohono O'odham I and its government "to all persons" within boundaries of the Tohono O'odham Nation"	owers, Nation n the
212223	authority, and jurisdiction of the Tohono O'odham I and its government "to all persons" within boundaries of the Tohono O'odham Nation" NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Tohono O'odham Nation	owers, Nation n the
21222324	authority, and jurisdiction of the Tohono O'odham I and its government "to all persons" within boundaries of the Tohono O'odham Nation" NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Tohono O'odham Nation Legislative Council that it hereby opposes the decount of the Tohono O'odham Nation	owers, Nation n the
2122232425	authority, and jurisdiction of the Tohono O'odham I and its government "to all persons" within boundaries of the Tohono O'odham Nation" NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Tohono O'odham Nation Legislative Council that it hereby opposes the decoration of the U. S. Supreme Court in Duro v. Reina and the council that it hereby opposes the decoration of the U. S. Supreme Court in Duro v. Reina and the council that it hereby opposes the decoration of the U. S. Supreme Court in Duro v. Reina and the council that it hereby opposes the decoration of the U. S. Supreme Court in Duro v. Reina and the council that it hereby opposes the decoration of the U. S. Supreme Court in Duro v. Reina and the council that it hereby opposes the decoration of the U. S. Supreme Court in Duro v. Reina and the council that it hereby opposes the decoration of the U. S. Supreme Court in Duro v. Reina and the council that it hereby opposes the decoration of the U. S. Supreme Court in Duro v. Reina and the council that it hereby opposes the decoration of the U. S. Supreme Court in Duro v. Reina and the council that it hereby opposes the decoration of the U. S. Supreme Court in Duro v. Reina and the council that it hereby opposes the decoration of the U. S. Supreme Court in Duro v. Reina and the council that it hereby opposes the decoration of the U. S. Supreme Court in Duro v. Reina and the Court in Duro v.	owers, Nation n the tion's cision nat it
212223242526	authority, and jurisdiction of the Tohono O'odham I and its government "to all persons" within boundaries of the Tohono O'odham Nation" NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Tohono O'odham Nation Legislative Council that it hereby opposes the decoration of the U. S. Supreme Court in Duro v. Reina and the hereby requests the support of this opposition in the council that it hereby requests the support of this opposition in the council that it hereby requests the support of this opposition in the council that it hereby requests the support of this opposition in the council that it hereby requests the support of this opposition in the council that it hereby requests the support of this opposition in the council that it hereby requests the support of this opposition in the council that it hereby requests the support of this opposition in the council that it hereby requests the support of this opposition in the council that it hereby requests the support of this opposition in the council that it hereby requests the support of this opposition in the council that it hereby requests the support of this opposition in the council that it hereby requests the support of this opposition in the council that it hereby requests the support of this opposition in the council that it hereby requests the support of this opposition in the council that it hereby requests the council that it has a council that it has a council that the council that it has a council t	owers, Nation n the cion's cision nat it
21222324252627	authority, and jurisdiction of the Tohono O'odham I and its government "to all persons" within boundaries of the Tohono O'odham Nation" NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Tohono O'odham Nation Legislative Council that it hereby opposes the decorpt of the U. S. Supreme Court in Duro v. Reina and the hereby requests the support of this opposition in Indian Nations at the 47th Annual Convention of the U. S. Supreme Court in Duro v. Reina and the Indian Nations at the 47th Annual Convention of the U. S. Supreme Court in Duro v. Reina and the Indian Nations at the 47th Annual Convention of the U. S. Supreme Court in Duro v. Reina and the Indian Nations at the 47th Annual Convention of the U. S. Supreme Court Indian Convention On Court Indian Convention On Court Indian Convention On Court Indian Convention On Court Indian Court Indian Convention On Court Indian Court Indian Court Indian Convention On Court Indian Court Indian Court Indian Court In	owers, Nation n the cion's cision nat it
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	authority, and jurisdiction of the Tohono O'odham I and its government "to all persons" within boundaries of the Tohono O'odham Nation" NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Tohono O'odham National Congress of American Indians.	wers, Nation n the cion's cision nat it ny all f the
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29	authority, and jurisdiction of the Tohono O'odham Indian Nations at the 47th Annual Convention of National Congress of American Indians. authority, and jurisdiction of the Tohono O'odham Indians. and its government "to all persons" within boundaries of the Tohono O'odham Nation" NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Tohono O'odham National Legislative Council that it hereby opposes the decorporate of the U. S. Supreme Court in Duro v. Reina and the hereby requests the support of this opposition in Indian National Congress of American Indians. BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Tohono O'odham Nation's Legis.	owers, Nation n the cion's cision nat it by all f the

requests the support of all Indian Nations at the 47th

RES. NO. 404-90 (NCAI Support in Opposition to U.S. Supreme Court Decision in Duro v. Reina) Page Two (2) 1 Annual Convention of the National Congress of American 2 Indians in this request. 3 The foregoing Resolution was passed by the Tohono O'odham Council on the 8th. day of November, 1990 at a meeting at which a quorum was present with a vote of 1,618.0 for; -0- against; -0- not voting; 03 absent, pursuant to the powers vested in the Council by Section 1 (j) of Article VI of the Constitution of the Tohono 5 O'odham Nation, adopted by the Tohono O'odham Nation on January 18, 6 1986, and approved by the Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary -Indian Affairs (Operations) on March 6, 1986, pursuant to Section 7 16 of the Act of June 18, 1934 (48 Stat. 984). 8 TOHONO O'ODHAM LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL 9 Edward D. Manuel, Legislative Chairman 10 day of Morespee, 1970. 11 12 ATTEST: 13 Teresa M. Choyguba Legislative Secretary 9th day of November, 1920. Said Resolution was submitted for approval to the office of the Chairman of the Tohono O'odham Nation on the _____ day of 16 Chairman of the Tohono O'odham Nation on the day of o'clock, h.M., pursuant to the provisions of Section 5 of Article VII of the 17 18 Constitution and will become effective upon his approval or upon his failure to either approve or disapprove it within 48 hours of 19 submittal. 20 TOHONO O'ODHAM LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL 21 22 Edward D. Manuel, Legislative Chairman APPROVED) on the 13 day of 700, 24] DISAPPROVED) at 12:07 o'clock, 2.M. 25 26 ANGELO J. JOAQUIN / SR., Chairman 27 TOHONO O'ODHAM NATION Returned to the Legislative Secretary on the 13H day of 28 ember, 1990, at 4:05 o'clock, P 29 30 rapicio for: 31 Peresa M. Choyguha Legislative Secretary

MOVED:	Eugene Enis	SUBJECT: NCAI Support in Opposition to
SECOND:_	Alex Ramon	U.S. Supreme Court Decision in Duro
DATE.	November 08 1990	vs. Reina

RESOLUTION NO. 404-90

DISTRICTS	REPRESENTATIVES	of votes	FOR	AGAINST	NOT VOTING	ABSENT
BABOQUIVARI 229.0	1. Earl A. Francisco (Kenneth Chico, Sr.)	114.5	х		> E	
223.0	2. Joann Garcia (Frances Miguel)	114.5	X			
CHUKUT KUK	1. Rosita Ruiz (Rosemary Lopez)	81.5	Х	V	-	
103.0	2. Kenneth G. Williams (Harriet Toro)	81.5	х			
GU ACHI 166.0	1. Percy Lopez	83.0	х			
166.0	2. Alex J. Ramon (Fernando Joaquin)	83.0	х			
GU VO 114.0	1. Cross Antone (Juan Joe Cipriano)	57.0	х			
114.0	2. Virgil Lewis (Michael Flores)	57.0	х			
HICKIWAN 125.0	1. Lloyd Francisco (Billy C. Manuel) 2. Lenora Montana ()	62.5	х			
125.0		62.5	х			х
PISINEMO		59.5	х			
119.0		59.5	х			
SAN LUCY 106.0		53.0				х
106.0		53.0				х
SAN XAVIER 127.0	1. Eugene Enis. Sr. (Michael Rios)	63.5	х			
127.0	2. Joanne C. Preston (Carmelita Mattias)	63.5	х			
SCHUK TOAK		53.5	х			
107.0		53.5	Х			
SELLS 310.0		155.0	Х			
310.0		155.0	х			
SIF OIDAK 158.0		79.0	х			
130.0	2. Willard Juan, Sr. (Melissa Gregorio)	79.0	х			
	TOTAL	1,724.0	1,618.0	-0-	-0-	03