RESOLUTION OF THE TOHONO O'ODHAM LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL (Authorizing and Directing Petition for Intervention by the Nation in U.S. v. Tucson)

1

RES. NO. <u>91-304</u>

WHEREAS, the Southern Arizona Water Rights Settlement Act of 1982 ("SAWRSA") was enacted for the purpose of settling the water rights claims of the Tohono O'odham Nation (the "Nation") within the Tucson Active Management Area and that part of the Upper Santa Cruz Basin not within such Area; and

8 WHEREAS, implementation of certain key provisions of SAWRSA is
9 conditioned on final dismissal of the legal proceedings
10 which had been initiated to assert the foregoing water
11 claims in federal district court, captioned <u>United States</u>
12 <u>v. Tucson</u> (Civ. No. 75-39-TUC-RCB, U.S.D.C. Arizona) (the
13 "Lawsuit"); and

14WHEREAS, failure to secure final dismissal of the Lawsuit will15adversely impact the water resources available to the16Nation and its members, including member allottees, the

San Xavier District and the Schuk Toak District; and
WHEREAS, pursuant to the provisions of SAWRSA, a Motion to Dismiss
was filed by the Nation in December 1988 to dismiss the
Nation from the Lawsuit; and

21 WHEREAS, in December 1988 the City of Tucson filed a Motion to 22 Dismiss the Lawsuit; and

WHEREAS, in February 1989, the Court issued an order dismissing the
Nation as a party to the Lawsuit; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to SAWRSA, the United States filed a Motion to
 Dismiss the Lawsuit in December 1989; and
 WHEREAS, in March 1990 eleven individual allottees (the "Allottee

28 Representatives") filed (1) a Motion for Class Certifi-

RES. NO. 91-304(Authorizing and Directing Petition for Intervention by the Nation in <u>U.S. v. Tucson</u>) Page (2)

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

cation seeking recognition as representatives for a putative class of allottees within the San Xavier District of the Nation and (2) and objection to the Motion to Dismiss filed by the United States; and WHEREAS, the Allottee Representatives, the United States and the City of Tucson, with the concurrence of the Nation,

stipulated to several extensions of time for the parties to respond to the Allottee Representatives' Motion and objection; and

WHEREAS, the purpose of the extensions was to enable time for the Water Resources Committee ("WRC") and other officials of the Nation to engage in settlement negotiations with the Allottee Representatives in an effort to secure a final dismissal of the Lawsuit premised on a mutually beneficial resolution of disputes and concerns regarding SAWRSA and other water rights matters; and

18 WHEREAS, several meetings have been held with the Allottee Representatives to discuss the prospects for settlement; and

WHEREAS, by Resolution No. <u>91-180</u> of the Legislative Council passed on May 16, 1991, the recommendations of the WRC regarding recharge, the 9B Farm and other alternatives were adopted by the Council; and

WHEREAS, the foregoing Resolution included an authorization and direction to the WRC to implement additional technical studies regarding use of the Central Arizona Project RES. NO. 91-304 (Authorizing and Directing Petition for Intervention by the Nation in U.S. v. Tucson) Page (3)

1 2

19

("CAP") water granted under SAWRSA, to develop a proposed 3 Water Code for the Nation, and draft amendments to SAWRSA 4 and other recommended actions by the Nation in consulta-5 tion, with the Allottee Representatives, Schuk Toak 6 District and San Xavier District; and 7 WHEREAS, on or about June 3, 1991, the Allottee Representatives

8 filed a Motion for Partial Summary Judgment in the 9 Lawsuit; and 10

WHEREAS, the water and other benefits granted to the Nation under 11 SAWRSA were in exchange for a settlement of the Nation's 12 Winters' rights; and 13

Allottee Motion, the summary Judgment their WHEREAS, in 14 Representatives seek a ruling of the Court that the 15 allottees in San Xavier District own more than 60% of the 16 Winters' right water within the District, and such 17 ownership is separate and distinct from the Nation's 18 entitlement; and

WHEREAS, SAWRSA granted to the Nation an annual entitlement of 20 60,000 acre feet comprised of groundwater, and CAP and 21 reclaimed or substitute water (exclusive of small well 22 pumping rights), in settlement of the Nation's Winters' 23 rights within the San Xavier District; and 24 WHEREAS, if the Court were to grant the relief requested in the 25

Allottee Representatives' Motion, not less than 60% of 26 the SAWRSA entitlement related to San Xavier (36,000 acre 27 feet) would be granted to the allottees, leaving the 28

RES. NO. 91-304(Authorizing and Directing Petition for Intervention by the Nation in <u>U.S. v. Tucson</u>) Page (4)

1

26

27

28

- Nation with only 24,000 acre feet of the original 60,000 acre feet granted to the Nation; and WHEREAS, in the event of a different acre foot entitlement

- WHEREAS, Section 2 of Article XVII of the Nation's Constitution provides that all water within the Nation shall be managed and controlled by the Legislative Council, consistent with federal law; and
- WHEREAS, SAWRSA and other federal law are consistent with the Nation's ownership of all such water, and the exercise of control and management thereof by the Legislative Council (subject to whatever rights the allottees may have under 25 U.S.C. §381 to a "just and equal distribution" for agricultural purposes); and
- WHEREAS, the WRC has recommended that the Council authorize the actions specified below with respect to the Lawsuit and related matters.
- NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT:
 - 1. The Attorney General of the Nation is authorized and directed to make appropriate application to the Federal

RES. NO. 91-304(Authorizing and Directing Petition for Intervention by the Nation in <u>U.S. v. Tucson</u>) Page (5)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

 $\mathbf{20}$

21

 $\mathbf{22}$

23

24

25

26

 $\mathbf{27}$

28

1

District Court for intervention by the Nation in the Lawsuit for purposes of responding to (a) the Motions to Dismiss filed by the United States and the City of Tucson, (b) the Motion for Class Certification filed by the Allottee Representatives, (c) the Allottee Representatives' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment and (d) all other Motions or matters now pending or later presented for party response. The Attorney General shall take the foregoing actions within a time period which best protects the legal interests of the Nation; provided that, consistent with this direction, the Attorney General is specifically authorized to defer making formal application to the Court for intervention by the Nation during any period of time for which the Allottee Representatives, United States and the City of Tucson have stipulated to an extension of time to respond to pending Motions and other matters, and which enables adequate time for the Nation to seek intervention and fully participate in the proceedings.

2. In connection with the foregoing actions, the Attorney General is authorized and directed to assert the legal position of the Nation that all water rights under SAWRSA, and the water entitlements and other benefits granted in settlement therefor, are solely owned by the Nation as a public resource of the Nation, and the

RES. NO. 91-304						
(Authorizing and Directing	Petition	for	Intervention	by	the	Nation
in U.S. v. Tucson)						
Page (6)						

2

3

4

1

management and control thereof are rights and powers held by the Nation's Legislative Council.

3. In further implementation of Resolution No. 91-180, the 5 WRC is authorized and directed, in conjunction with the 6 Chairman of the Nation, to develop a timetable for 7 concluding the tasks outlined in such Resolution which 8 with the Allottee shall include consultation 9 Representatives, officials of the San Xavier and Schuk 10 Toak Districts, responsible officials of the United 11 States Government, the City of Tucson and other persons 12 or entities with a substantial legal interest in the 13 subject matter. These actions shall be taken with due 14 consideration given to whatever special rights 15 allottees may have to the beneficial use of the 16 Nation's water, taking into account that the Nation 17 owns the water and controls its use as a valuable 18 resource for all the Nation's members. 19

20

The foregoing Resolution was passed by the Tohono O'odham Council on the <u>20th</u> day of <u>June, 1991</u> at a meeting at which a quorum was present with a vote of <u>1,397.0</u> for; <u>127.0</u> against; <u>199.5</u> not voting; <u>01</u> absent, pursuant to the powers vested in the Council by Article XVII of the Constitution of the Tohono O'odham Nation, adopted by the Tohono O'odham Nation on January 18, 1986, and approved by the Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary - Indian Affairs (Operations) on March 6, 1986, pursuant to Section 16 of the Act of June 18, 1934 (48 Stat. 984).

20	
	TOHONO O'ODHAM LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL
26	
27	Mary un untre
	Mary Ann Antone, Legislative Chairwoman
28	VIII
	day of, 19

RES. NO. 91-304 (Authorizing and Directing Petition for Intervention by the Nation in U.S. v. Tucson) Page (7) 1 2 3 ATTEST: 4 Veresa M. Chongula 5 Teresa M. Choyguna, Legislative Secretary 24th day of June , 19 91. 6 7 Said Resolution was submitted for approval to the office of the Chairman of the Tohono O'odham Nation on the ______ day of pursuant to the provisions of Section 5 of Article VII of the 8 9 Constitution and will become effective upon his approval or upon his failure to either approve or disapprove it within 48 hours of 10 submittal. 11 TOHONO O'ODHAM LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL 12 13 Mary Ann Antone, Legislative Chairwoman on the 25 day of _____, 1991, 14 APPROVED) $[\times]$ at 3128 o'clock, P.M. 15 DISAPPROVED) 1 16 17 JOSIAH MOORE, Chairman 18 TOHONO O'ODHAM NATION Returned to the Legislative Secretary on the 20^{+h} . 19 20 LAL, 1991, at 8:21 o'clock, A 21 22 23 Teresa M. Choyquha Legislative Secretary 24 25 26 27 28

RESOLUTION NO. 91-304

NOVED: COUNCILHAN JOHN RENO

SECOND: COUNCILMONAN JOANN FRANCISCO

SUBJECT: AUTHORIZING AND DIRECTING PETITION FOR INTER-

VENTION BY THE NATION IN U.S. V. TUCSON

DATE: JUNE 20, 1991

DISTRICTS	REPRESENTATIVES	of votes	FOR	AGAINST	NOT VOTING	ABSENT
SABOQUIVARI	1. Earl A. Francisco	114.5	X			
229.0 (Kenneth Chico) 2. Frances Niguel (Joann Garcia)	2. Frances Niguel	114.5	X			
163.0 (Harriet 2. Alberta L	1. Kenneth Williams	81.5	X			
	2. Alberta Lopez (Berdella Jose)	81.5	X			
GU ACHI 166.0	1. Alex Ramon (Fernando Joaquin)	83.0	X			
	2. Willard Anita (Jonas Robles)	83.0			X	
GU VO	1. Virgil Lewis (Nichael Flores)	57.0	X			
114.0 2.	2. Emilio Lewis (Anthony Flores)	57.0			X	
HICKIWAN	1. Lloyd Francisco (Billy C. Manuel)	62.5	X			
125.0 **	2. Manuel Osequeda, Jr.	62.5	X			
PISINEMO 1. Johnson Jose 119.0 () 2. Chester Antone (Fernando Valentine)	59.5	X				
		59.5			X	
106.0 (Max P. J	1. Albert Manuel, Jr.	53.0	X	ļ		
	2. John Reno ()	53.0	X			
SAN XAVIER 127.0	1. Joanne C. Preston (Carmelita Nattias)	63.5		X		
	2. Rugene Enis, Sr. (Wichael R. Rios)	63.5		X		X
SCHUK TOAK 107.0	1. Johnn Francisco (David Valenzuela,Sr)	53.5	X			
	2. Frances Francisco (Joseph Juan)	53.5	X			
SRLLS 310.0	1. Joseph T. Joaquin (Larry Garcia)	155.0	X			
310.0	2. Andrew Patricio (Lucille Encinas)	155.0	X			
158.0 (Niña Jo 2. Willard	1. Mary Ann Antone (Nina Jose)	79.0	X			
	2. Willard Juan, Sr. (Delbert Thomas)	79.0	X			
	TOTAL	1,724.0	1,397.5	127.0	199.5	01