-	}	DLUTION OF THE TOHONO O'ODHAM LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL posing Senate Bills 2298, 2299, 2300, 2301, and 2302 in the United States Senate)
1		
2		DESOLUTION NO 09 200
3		RESOLUTION NO. <u>98-390</u>
4	WHEREAS,	Senator Slade Gorton has introduced five bills in the United States Senate,
5		namely
6		1) S. 2298, the Indian Civil Rights Enforcement Act;
7		2) S. 2299, the American Indian Contract Enforcement Act;
8		3) S. 2300, the State Excise, Sales and Transaction Tax Enforcement Act
9		of 1998;
10		4) S. 2301, the Tribal Environmental Accountability Act; and
11		5) S. 2302, the American Indian Tort Liability Act; and
12	WHEREAS,	these bills are a continuation of Senator Gorton's longstanding attack on
13		tribal self government, self determination, economic independence and
14		sovereignty; and
15	WHEREAS,	S. 2298 provides a Congressional waiver of the sovereign immunity of all
16		Indian Tribes in tribal court and in federal district court for claims
17		brought under the Indian Civil Rights Act for declaratory judgment and
18		injunctive relief, and allows the federal court to set aside the findings of
19		fact of the tribal court if the tribal court hearing is determined to be
20		unfair, if the tribal court is not independent of the legislative and
21		executive branches of government, or if the factual determinations of the
22		tribal court are not fairly supported by the record; and
23	WHEREAS,	S. 2299 provides a Congressional waiver of the sovereign immunity of all
24		Indian Tribes in federal court for contract claims to obtain money
25		damages against Indian tribal governments for breaches of contracts
26		made by the governing body of the Indian Tribe or on behalf of an Indian
27		Tribe; and
28	WHEREAS,	

### **RESOLUTION NO. <u>98-390</u>**

(Opposing Senate Bills 2298, 2299, 2300, 2301, and 2302 in the United States Senate) Page 2 of 5

1  $\mathbf{2}$ 

 $\mathbf{7}$ 

Indian Tribes and tribal retail enterprises in federal court for declaratory 3 judgments and for tax collection lawsuits brought by a State for collection 4 of certain qualified State retail taxes imposed on and payable by non-5 members of the Tribe, with an exception for cases where the Tribe 6 imposes and collects an equivalent tax on the same sale or where an agreement between the Tribe and the State exempt certain tribal retail 8 enterprises from collecting and remitting the tax; and 9

10 WHEREAS, S. 2301 seeks to make Indian Tribes subject to the National Environmental Policy Act, 42 U.S.C. § 4321 et seq. ("NEPA"), and waives the 11 sovereign immunity of Indian Tribes to the extent necessary for NEPA 12 13 actions to be brought against Tribes, and further waives the sovereign 14 immunity of Indian Tribes so that citizen lawsuits authorized under any 15 federal environmental law may be brought against any Indian Tribe; and 16 WHEREAS, S. 2302 provides a Congressional waiver of the sovereign immunity of all 17 Indian Tribes in federal court for tort lawsuits (lawsuits involving claims 18 for property injury or loss, personal injury, or wrongful death based on 19 negligent or intentional conduct of the Indian Tribe) and provides that  $\mathbf{20}$ the Secretary of the Interior shall obtain or provide a tort liability 21 insurance policy for each Indian Tribe that receives a Tribal Priority  $\mathbf{22}$ Allocation in which insurance policy the insurance carrier is precluded  $\mathbf{23}$ from asserting the defense of sovereign immunity, and provides that the  $\mathbf{24}$ Secretary of the Interior shall withhold future Tribal Priority Allocation  $\mathbf{25}$ payments until the Secretary determines that the Tribe has paid its  $\mathbf{26}$ insurance premiums; and

 $\mathbf{27}$ these Senate Bills are offensive to Indian tribal governments because they WHEREAS. 28 undermine the jurisdiction of tribal courts and attack the competence of

### **RESOLUTION NO. <u>98-390</u>**

(Opposing Senate Bills 2298, 2299, 2300, 2301, and 2302 in the United States Senate) Page 3 of 5

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

1

### tribal courts; and

WHEREAS, these bills will cause a financial strain on Indian tribal governments by
subjecting them to tort and contract lawsuits and payment of damages at
a time when tribal funds are severely diminished by the federal
government's withholding of adequate federal funding of essential tribal
governmental services; and

9WHEREAS,Indian Tribes are capable of waiving their own sovereign immunity in a10manner compatible with their own needs and conditions, and of creating11mechanisms for administrative review of claims prior to judicial action12similar to the mechanism in the Federal Tort Claims Act, but the13proposed bill waiving immunity from tort lawsuits unilaterally waives the14sovereign immunity of all Tribes and forces Tribes directly into court15without the chance for administrative review of tort claims; and

WHEREAS, the Tohono O'odham Nation has provided limited waivers of sovereign
 immunity in certain tribal laws where the Tohono O'odham Legislative
 Council has deemed it prudent to waive sovereign immunity, including
 the Tohono O'odham Gaming Authority Charter and the Tohono O'odham
 Solid Waste Management Code.

 $\mathbf{21}$ NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Tohono O'odham Nation strenuously  $\mathbf{22}$ opposes enactment by the United States Congress of S. 2298, the "Indian  $\mathbf{23}$ Civil Rights Enforcement Act," S. 2299, the "American Indian Contract  $\mathbf{24}$ Enforcement Act," S. 2300, the "State Excise, Sales and Transaction Tax  $\mathbf{25}$ Enforcement Act of 1998," S. 2301, the "Tribal Environmental  $\mathbf{26}$ Accountability Act," and S. 2302, the "American Indian Tort Liability  $\mathbf{27}$ Insurance Act" because the need for these measures is not founded in fact 28 and because they are unwarranted attacks on the self government, self

**RESOLUTION NO. <u>98-390</u>** (Opposing Senate Bills 2298, 2299, 2300, 2301, and 2302 in the United States Senate) 1 Page 4 of 5  $\mathbf{2}$ determination, economic independence and sovereignty of Indian Tribes 3 and Nations. 4 The foregoing Resolution was passed by the Tohono O'odham Council on the 17TH, day 5 of SEPTEMBER, 1998 at a meeting at which a quorum was present with a vote of 1,813.0 FOR; -0- AGAINST; -0- NOT VOTING; and 114.0 (05) ABSENT, pursuant to the powers 6 vested in the Council by Section 1 (j) of Article VI of the Constitution of the Tohono 7 O'Odham Nation, adopted by the Tohono O'Odham Nation on January 18, 1986; and approved by the Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary - Indian Affairs (Operations) on 8 March 6, 1986, pursuant to Section 16 of the Act of June 18, 1934 (48 Stat. 984). 9 TOHONO O'ODHAM LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL 10 11 **Dennis Ramon, Legislative Chairman** 12 17th day of September , 19 88 13 14 ATTEST: 15 16 na Saraficio, Acting Legislative Secretary 17 untent , 19 98. day of , 18 19 Said Resolution was submitted for approval to the office of the Chairman of the Tohono  $\mathbf{20}$ O'Odham Nation on the 17th day of September , 19 98 at 5:02 o'clock,  $\mathcal{P}$ .M., pursuant to the provisions of Section 5 of Article VII of the Constitution 21 and will become effective upon his approval or upon his failure to either approve or  $\mathbf{22}$ disapprove it within 48 hours of submittal.  $\mathbf{23}$ **TOHONO O'ODHAM LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL**  $\mathbf{24}$  $\mathbf{25}$ 26 **Dennis Ramon, Legislative Chairman**  $\mathbf{27}$  $\mathbf{28}$ 

RESOLUTION NO. 98-390 (Opposing Senate Bills 2298, 2299, 2300, 2301, and 2302 in the United States Senate) 1 Page 5 of 5  $\mathbf{2}$ on the <u>21</u> day of <u>September</u>, 19<u>8</u> at <u>11:54</u> o'clock, <u>12.M.</u> APPROVED 3 4 [ ] DISAPPROVED 5 6 **EDWARD D. MANUEL, Chairman** 7 **TOHONO O'ODHAM NATION** 8 9 10 Returned to the Legislative Secretary on the  $\frac{215}{2}$  day of 11 \_\_\_\_\_, 19<u>\_98\_</u>, at <u>/ 2:29</u> o'clock, <u>/</u>.M. 12ntinte 13 14 Julianna Saraficio, Acting Legislative Secretary 154 16 17 18 19  $\mathbf{20}$ 21  $\mathbf{22}$  $\mathbf{23}$ 24  $\mathbf{25}$ 26  $\mathbf{27}$ 28

# ACTION: OPPOSING SENATE BILLS 2298, 2299, 2300, 2301, AND 2302 IN THE UNITED STATES SENATE

## MOVED: COUNCILMAN DENNIS JOSE

### SECOND: COUNCILMAN JOSEPH JUAN

DATE: SEPTEMBER 15, 1998

DISTRICT	LEGISLATIVE REPRESENTATIVES	# OF VOTES	FOR	AGAINST	NOT VOTING	ABSENT
CHUKUT KUK 189.0	<ol> <li>DAVID GARCIA (Marvin Thomas)</li> <li>KENNETH WILLIAMS</li> </ol>	94.5 94.5	x x			
	(Arnold F. Smith Sr.)		x			
HICKIWAN 146.0	1. ALEX JOSE ( ) 2. LLOYD FRANCISCO (Susan Sam)	73.0 73.0	X			
GU VO	1. FERN SALCIDO	71.0	x			
142.0	(Larry Montana) 2. GLORIA MONTANA (Phillip Antone)	71.0	x			
SAN LUCY	1. JOHN RENO	57.0				х
114.0	(Mary Hoffman) 2. ALBERT MANUEL, JR. (Jana Montana)	57.0				x
PISINEMO	1. BARBARA SALVICIO	69.5	х			х
139.0	() 2. JOHNSON JOSE ()	69.5	X			
GU ACHI	1. LOUIS JOHNSON	95.0	х			
190.0	( ) 2. ALEX J. RAMON ( )	95.0	x			х
BABOQUIVARI	1. FRANCES MIGUEL	129.0	х			
258.0	() 2. FRANCES G. ANTONE (Edward N. Kisto)	129.0	x			
SAN XAVIER	1. TONY BURRELL	73.0	х			
146.0	( ) 2. DENNIS RAMON ( )	73.0	X			
SCHUK TOAK	1. JOSEPH JUAN	60.0	х			х
120.0	( ) 2. AMBROSE ENCINAS ( )	60.0	X			
SELLS	1. DENNIS E. JOSE	161.0	X			
322.0	( ) 2. EVELYN JUAN ( )	161.0	x			
SIF OIDAK	1. RITA MARTINEZ (Maxine Norris)	80.5	x			
161.0	2. MARY ANN ANTONE (Darlene Andrew)	80.5	x			
Τ	1,927.0	1,813.0	-0-	-0-	114.0 (05)	